

CHARACTER THEORY OF FINITE GROUPS

Chapter 1:

REPRESENTATIONS

G is a finite group and
 K is a field.

G is a finite group and
 K is a field.

A K -representation of G is a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{X} : G \rightarrow GL(n, K),$$

where $GL(n, K)$ is the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over K .

G is a finite group and
 K is a field.

A K -representation of G is a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{X} : G \rightarrow GL(n, K),$$

where $GL(n, K)$ is the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over K .

The positive integer n is the degree of \mathcal{X} .

K -representations \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} of G , both of degree n , are **similar** if there exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix P over K such that

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = P^{-1}\mathcal{X}(g)P$$

for all $g \in G$.

A K -representation \mathcal{X} of G of degree n is **irreducible** if there does NOT exist a nonzero proper subspace U of the n -dimensional row space over K such that $U\mathcal{X}(g) \subseteq U$ for all $g \in G$.

A K -representation \mathcal{X} of G of degree n is **irreducible** if there does NOT exist a nonzero proper subspace U of the n -dimensional row space over K such that $U\mathcal{X}(g) \subseteq U$ for all $g \in G$.

To say that \mathcal{X} is irreducible thus means that it is NOT similar to a representation \mathcal{Y} of the form

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ * & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

A K -representation \mathcal{X} of G of degree n is **irreducible** if there does NOT exist a nonzero proper subspace U of the n -dimensional row space over K such that $U\mathcal{X}(g) \subseteq U$ for all $g \in G$.

To say that \mathcal{X} is irreducible thus means that it is NOT similar to a representation \mathcal{Y} of the form

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ * & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: Here, \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are representations.

The **group algebra** $K[G]$ is the vector space over K with basis G .

Multiplication is defined by the group product together with the distributive law.

The **group algebra** $K[G]$ is the vector space over K with basis G .

Multiplication is defined by the group product together with the distributive law.

If \mathcal{X} is a K -representation of G with degree n , then \mathcal{X} can be extended linearly to $K[G]$.

The **group algebra** $K[G]$ is the vector space over K with basis G .

Multiplication is defined by the group product together with the distributive law.

If \mathcal{X} is a K -representation of G with degree n , then \mathcal{X} can be extended linearly to $K[G]$.

The result is an algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{X} : K[G] \rightarrow M_n(K),$$

where $M_n(K)$ is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices.

Fact: Assuming that K is algebraically closed, the K -representation \mathcal{X} of G is irreducible iff \mathcal{X} maps $K[G]$ onto the full algebra $M_n(K)$.

Fact: Assuming that K is algebraically closed, the K -representation \mathcal{X} of G is irreducible iff \mathcal{X} maps $K[G]$ onto the full algebra $M_n(K)$.

Note: The “if” direction is obvious but “only if” requires algebraically closed.

Fact: Assuming that K is algebraically closed, the K -representation \mathcal{X} of G is irreducible iff \mathcal{X} maps $K[G]$ onto the full algebra $M_n(K)$.

Note: The “if” direction is obvious but “only if” requires algebraically closed.

If \mathcal{X} is irreducible and K is algebraically closed, it follows that the only matrices that centralize $\mathcal{X}(G)$ are the scalar matrices. This is called **Schur’s lemma**.

EXERCISE (1.1): Let K be an arbitrary field, and let z be the sum of all elements of G in the group algebra $K[G]$. Show that the one-dimensional subspace Kz of $K[G]$ is an ideal. Also, prove that z is nilpotent iff the characteristic of K divides $|G|$.

EXERCISE (1.2): With the notation as above, show that $K[G]$ has a proper ideal I such that $Kz + I = K[G]$ iff the characteristic of K does not divide $|G|$.

From now on, we take $K = \mathbb{C}$, though any algebraically closed characteristic zero field will work as well.

From now on, we take $K = \mathbb{C}$, though any algebraically closed characteristic zero field will work as well.

Fact: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of full matrix algebras:

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \sum_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

From now on, we take $K = \mathbb{C}$, though any algebraically closed characteristic zero field will work as well.

Fact: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of full matrix algebras:

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \sum_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Note:

$$|G| = \dim(\mathbb{C}[G]) = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i)^2.$$

What is k ?

What is k ?

Since each matrix algebra has center of dimension 1, we have

$$k = \dim(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])).$$

What is k ?

Since each matrix algebra has center of dimension 1, we have

$$k = \dim(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])).$$

Also, the sums in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of the elements of each conjugacy class of G form a basis for $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$, and thus

$$k = \text{number of classes of } G.$$

Observe that the composite map

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

is an irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation of G .

Here, the second map is the projection to the i th summand.

Observe that the composite map

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

is an irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation of G .

Here, the second map is the projection to the i th summand.

Write \mathcal{X}_i to denote this representation.

Observe that the composite map

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

is an irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation of G .

Here, the second map is the projection to the i th summand.

Write \mathcal{X}_i to denote this representation.

Fact: Every irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation of G is similar to one of the \mathcal{X}_i .

Chapter 2:

CHARACTERS

Given a \mathbb{C} -representation \mathcal{X} of G , the associated **character** χ is the function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)).$$

We say \mathcal{X} **affords** χ .

Given a \mathbb{C} -representation \mathcal{X} of G , the associated **character** χ is the function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)).$$

We say \mathcal{X} **affords** χ .

Note: Similar representations afford equal characters.

Given a \mathbb{C} -representation \mathcal{X} of G , the associated **character** χ is the function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)).$$

We say \mathcal{X} **affords** χ .

Note: Similar representations afford equal characters.

Note: If \mathcal{X} affords χ then $\chi(1)$ is the degree of \mathcal{X} . Thus $\chi(1)$ is a positive integer; it is called the **degree** of χ .

Note:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(x^{-1}gx) &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(x^{-1}gx)) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(x)^{-1}\mathcal{X}(g)\mathcal{X}(x)) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)) \\ &= \chi(g).\end{aligned}$$

so characters are constant on conjugacy classes.

The characters of G thus lie in the \mathbb{C} -space $\text{cf}(G)$ of **class functions** of G .

Note:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(x^{-1}gx) &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(x^{-1}gx)) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(x)^{-1}\mathcal{X}(g)\mathcal{X}(x)) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)) \\ &= \chi(g).\end{aligned}$$

so characters are constant on conjugacy classes.

The characters of G thus lie in the \mathbb{C} -space $\text{cf}(G)$ of **class functions** of G .

Note: We have $\dim(\text{cf}(G)) = k$, the number of classes of G .

If α and β are characters of G afforded by \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} respectively, then the representation \mathcal{X} given by

$$\mathcal{X}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

affords the character $\chi = \alpha + \beta$.

If α and β are characters of G afforded by \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} respectively, then the representation \mathcal{X} given by

$$\mathcal{X}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

affords the character $\chi = \alpha + \beta$.

Thus sums of characters are characters.

If α and β are characters of G afforded by \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} respectively, then the representation \mathcal{X} given by

$$\mathcal{X}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

affords the character $\chi = \alpha + \beta$.

Thus sums of characters are characters.

A character that is not a sum of two characters is said to be **irreducible**.

Note: Every character is a sum of irreducible characters.

Note: Every character is a sum of irreducible characters.

This follows since character degrees are positive integers, and thus one cannot keep decomposing indefinitely.

Note: Every character is a sum of irreducible characters.

This follows since character degrees are positive integers, and thus one cannot keep decomposing indefinitely.

We will see that a character χ is *uniquely* a sum of irreducible characters. These are called the **irreducible constituents** of χ .

Note: Every character is a sum of irreducible characters.

This follows since character degrees are positive integers, and thus one cannot keep decomposing indefinitely.

We will see that a character χ is *uniquely* a sum of irreducible characters. These are called the **irreducible constituents** of χ .

Notation: $\text{Irr}(G)$ is the set of irreducible characters of G .

Recall: The \mathbb{C} -representations \mathcal{X}_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ come from the decomposition of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as a direct sum of matrix rings.

Also recall: Up to similarity, these \mathcal{X}_i are all of the irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations of G .

Recall: The \mathbb{C} -representations \mathcal{X}_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ come from the decomposition of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as a direct sum of matrix rings.

Also recall: Up to similarity, these \mathcal{X}_i are all of the irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations of G .

THEOREM: *The characters afforded by the \mathcal{X}_i are distinct and linearly independent, and they form the set $\text{Irr}(G)$.*

Proof: Since the \mathcal{X}_i come from projections into direct summands of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, we can find $a_j \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ such that $\mathcal{X}_i(a_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, but $\mathcal{X}_i(a_i)$ is an arbitrary matrix of the appropriate size.

Proof: Since the \mathcal{X}_i come from projections into direct summands of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, we can find $a_j \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ such that $\mathcal{X}_i(a_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, but $\mathcal{X}_i(a_i)$ is an arbitrary matrix of the appropriate size.

Let χ_i be the character afforded by \mathcal{X}_i , and view χ_i as being defined on the whole of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Then we can choose the a_i such that $\chi_i(a_j) = 0$, but $\chi_i(a_i) = 1$. It follows that the χ_i are distinct and linearly independent.

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be arbitrary, and let \mathcal{X} be a \mathbb{C} -representation affording χ . We argue that \mathcal{X} is irreducible.

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be arbitrary, and let \mathcal{X} be a \mathbb{C} -representation affording χ . We argue that \mathcal{X} is irreducible.

Otherwise, \mathcal{X} is similar to a representation \mathcal{Y} such that

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ * & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

and χ is afforded by \mathcal{Y} .

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be arbitrary, and let \mathcal{X} be a \mathbb{C} -representation affording χ . We argue that \mathcal{X} is irreducible.

Otherwise, \mathcal{X} is similar to a representation \mathcal{Y} such that

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}(g) & 0 \\ * & \mathcal{V}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

and χ is afforded by \mathcal{Y} .

Then $\chi = \alpha + \beta$, where \mathcal{U} affords α and \mathcal{V} affords β . This contradicts the irreducibility of χ .

We now know that each character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is afforded by an irreducible representation, and hence χ is afforded by some \mathcal{X}_i . It follows that $\chi = \chi_i$ for some i .

We now know that each character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is afforded by an irreducible representation, and hence χ is afforded by some \mathcal{X}_i . It follows that $\chi = \chi_i$ for some i .

What remains is to show that each character χ_j is irreducible.

We now know that each character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is afforded by an irreducible representation, and hence χ is afforded by some \mathcal{X}_i . It follows that $\chi = \chi_i$ for some i .

What remains is to show that each character χ_j is irreducible.

Otherwise, since χ_j is a sum of irreducible characters, it is a sum of characters χ_i with $i \neq j$, and this contradicts the linear independence. ■

COROLLARY: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 = |G|.$

COROLLARY: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 = |G|.$

COROLLARY: $|\text{Irr}(G)| = k$, *the number of conjugacy classes of G .*

COROLLARY: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 = |G|.$

COROLLARY: $|\text{Irr}(G)| = k$, *the number of conjugacy classes of G .*

COROLLARY: G *is abelian iff every member of $\text{Irr}(G)$ has degree 1.*

COROLLARY: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 = |G|.$

COROLLARY: $|\text{Irr}(G)| = k$, *the number of conjugacy classes of G .*

COROLLARY: G *is abelian iff every member of $\text{Irr}(G)$ has degree 1.*

Proof: G *is abelian iff $k = |G|.$*

COROLLARY: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 = |G|.$

COROLLARY: $|\text{Irr}(G)| = k$, *the number of conjugacy classes of G .*

COROLLARY: G *is abelian iff every member of $\text{Irr}(G)$ has degree 1.*

Proof: G *is abelian iff $k = |G|$.*

COROLLARY: The set $\text{Irr}(G)$ *is a basis for the space $\text{cf}(G)$ of class functions.*

A **linear** character is a character λ such that the degree $\lambda(1) = 1$.

A **linear** character is a character λ such that the degree $\lambda(1) = 1$.

Note: The linear characters of G are exactly the homomorphisms from G into the group \mathbb{C}^\times .

A **linear** character is a character λ such that the degree $\lambda(1) = 1$.

Note: The linear characters of G are exactly the homomorphisms from G into the group \mathbb{C}^\times .

The **principal** character 1_G of G is the trivial homomorphism, with constant value 1.

A **linear** character is a character λ such that the degree $\lambda(1) = 1$.

Note: The linear characters of G are exactly the homomorphisms from G into the group \mathbb{C}^\times .

The **principal** character 1_G of G is the trivial homomorphism, with constant value 1.

Note: The set of linear characters of G forms a group under pointwise multiplication.

A **linear** character is a character λ such that the degree $\lambda(1) = 1$.

Note: The linear characters of G are exactly the homomorphisms from G into the group \mathbb{C}^\times .

The **principal** character 1_G of G is the trivial homomorphism, with constant value 1.

Note: The set of linear characters of G forms a group under pointwise multiplication.

The identity is 1_G and $\lambda^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}$, the complex conjugate of λ .

COROLLARY: *The number of linear characters of G is $|G : G'|$.*

COROLLARY: *The number of linear characters of G is $|G : G'|$.*

Proof: Since a linear character of G is a homomorphism of G into the abelian group \mathbb{C}^\times , the derived subgroup G' is contained in the kernel of every such character.

COROLLARY: *The number of linear characters of G is $|G : G'|$.*

Proof: Since a linear character of G is a homomorphism of G into the abelian group \mathbb{C}^\times , the derived subgroup G' is contained in the kernel of every such character.

The linear characters of G , therefore, are exactly the linear characters of the abelian group G/G' , and the number of these is $|G/G'|$. ■

COROLLARY: *The number of linear characters of G is $|G : G'|$.*

Proof: Since a linear character of G is a homomorphism of G into the abelian group \mathbb{C}^\times , the derived subgroup G' is contained in the kernel of every such character.

The linear characters of G , therefore, are exactly the linear characters of the abelian group G/G' , and the number of these is $|G/G'|$. ■

Fact: The group of linear characters of G is isomorphic to G/G' .

EXERCISE (2.1): Compute the degrees of the irreducible characters of S_3 , A_4 , S_4 and A_5 .

EXERCISE (2.2) : If χ is a character of G and λ is a linear character, define $\lambda\chi$ to be the function defined by $(\lambda\chi)(g) = \lambda(g)\chi(g)$. Show that $\lambda\chi$ is a character, and that it is irreducible iff χ is irreducible.

In fact, if χ and ψ are arbitrary characters of G then the function $\chi\psi$ defined by $(\chi\psi)(g) = \chi(g)\psi(g)$ is a character. We sketch a proof.

In fact, if χ and ψ are arbitrary characters of G then the function $\chi\psi$ defined by $(\chi\psi)(g) = \chi(g)\psi(g)$ is a character. We sketch a proof.

Let A be an $m \times m$ matrix with entries $a_{i,j}$, and let B be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries $b_{k,l}$. The **Kronecker product** of A and B , denoted $A \otimes B$, is an $mn \times mn$ matrix, defined as follows.

In fact, if χ and ψ are arbitrary characters of G then the function $\chi\psi$ defined by $(\chi\psi)(g) = \chi(g)\psi(g)$ is a character. We sketch a proof.

Let A be an $m \times m$ matrix with entries $a_{i,j}$, and let B be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries $b_{k,l}$. The **Kronecker product** of A and B , denoted $A \otimes B$, is an $mn \times mn$ matrix, defined as follows.

The rows and columns of $A \otimes B$ are indexed by the set of ordered pairs (u, v) with $1 \leq u \leq m$ and $1 \leq v \leq n$. The $((i, k), (j, l))$ -entry of $A \otimes B$ is $a_{i,j}b_{k,l}$.

Note: To write $A \otimes B$ explicitly as a matrix, one must define some specific order on the index set. The choice of order is essentially irrelevant, however.

Note: To write $A \otimes B$ explicitly as a matrix, one must define some specific order on the index set. The choice of order is essentially irrelevant, however.

It is easy to compute that

$$\text{trace}(A \otimes B) = \text{trace}(A)\text{trace}(B).$$

Note: To write $A \otimes B$ explicitly as a matrix, one must define some specific order on the index set. The choice of order is essentially irrelevant, however.

It is easy to compute that

$$\text{trace}(A \otimes B) = \text{trace}(A)\text{trace}(B).$$

Also, if C and D are $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices, respectively, it follows from the definition of matrix multiplication that

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD.$$

Now let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be representations of G , affording characters χ and ψ , respectively. Define \mathcal{Z} by $\mathcal{Z}(g) = \mathcal{X}(g) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(g)$.

Now let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be representations of G , affording characters χ and ψ , respectively. Define \mathcal{Z} by $\mathcal{Z}(g) = \mathcal{X}(g) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(g)$.

It follows from the above remarks that \mathcal{Z} is a representation, and that the character afforded by \mathcal{Z} is the product $\chi\psi$. This shows that products of characters are characters.

Now let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be representations of G , affording characters χ and ψ , respectively. Define \mathcal{Z} by $\mathcal{Z}(g) = \mathcal{X}(g) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(g)$.

It follows from the above remarks that \mathcal{Z} is a representation, and that the character afforded by \mathcal{Z} is the product $\chi\psi$. This shows that products of characters are characters.

Note: If either χ or ψ is reducible, it is easy to see that $\chi\psi$ is reducible. Even if χ and ψ are irreducible, however, their product is usually not irreducible.

EXERCISE (2.3): Suppose χ is the unique member of $\text{Irr}(G)$ having degree d for some integer d . Show that $\chi(x) = 0$ for all elements $x \in G - G'$.

EXERCISE (2.4): Let χ be a character of G , and let \mathcal{X} afford χ . Define the function λ on G by $\lambda(g) = \det(\mathcal{X}(g))$. Show that λ is a linear character of G and that it does not depend on the choice of the representation \mathcal{X} affording χ .

Notation: $\lambda = \det(\chi)$. Also, the **determinantal order** $o(\chi)$ is the order of $\det(\chi)$ in the group of linear characters of G .

Chapter 3:

CHARACTER VALUES

Let \mathcal{X} be a representation of G with degree d and let $g \in G$ have order n . Then $\mathcal{X}(g)^n = I$, the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

Let \mathcal{X} be a representation of G with degree d and let $g \in G$ have order n . Then $\mathcal{X}(g)^n = I$, the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

It follows by linear algebra that the matrix $\mathcal{X}(g)$ is similar to a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are n th roots of unity.

If \mathcal{X} affords the character χ , then

$$\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)) = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_d,$$

where the ϵ_i for $1 \leq i \leq d$ are n th roots of unity.

If \mathcal{X} affords the character χ , then

$$\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)) = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_d,$$

where the ϵ_i for $1 \leq i \leq d$ are n th roots of unity.

Also

$$\chi(g^{-1}) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(g)^{-1}) = \bar{\epsilon}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\epsilon}_d = \overline{\chi(g)},$$

where the overbar is complex conjugation.

THEOREM: Let χ be a character of a group G , where χ is afforded by a representation \mathcal{X} , and let $g \in G$. Then:

(a) $|\chi(g)| \leq \chi(1)$.

(b) $|\chi(g)| = \chi(1)$ iff $\mathcal{X}(g)$ is a scalar matrix.

(c) $\chi(g) = \chi(1)$ iff $\mathcal{X}(g)$ is the identity matrix.

COROLLARY: *Let χ be a character of G . Then $\{g \in G \mid \chi(g) = \chi(1)\}$ is the kernel of every representation affording χ . In particular, this subset is a normal subgroup of G .*

COROLLARY: *Let χ be a character of G . Then $\{g \in G \mid \chi(g) = \chi(1)\}$ is the kernel of every representation affording χ . In particular, this subset is a normal subgroup of G .*

Notation: We write

$$\ker(\chi) = \{g \in G \mid \chi(g) = \chi(1)\}.$$

This normal subgroup is called the **kernel** of χ , and χ is **faithful** if $\ker(\chi) = 1$.

EXERCISE (3.1): Let $N \triangleleft G$ and $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$. Define $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting $\chi(g) = \psi(Ng)$. Show that $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and that $N \subseteq \ker(\chi)$. Also, show that the map $\psi \mapsto \chi$ from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ into $\text{Irr}(G)$ defines a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ to the set $\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid N \subseteq \ker(\chi)\}$.

Note: It is customary to identify ψ with χ , so we usually pretend that

$$\text{Irr}(G/N) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid N \subseteq \ker(\chi)\}.$$

EXERCISE (3.2): Show that

$$\bigcap \{\ker(\chi) \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\} = 1,$$

the trivial subgroup of G .

EXERCISE (3.3): Let $N \triangleleft G$. Show that

$$N = \bigcap \{\ker(\chi) \mid N \subseteq \ker(\chi)\}.$$

Thus, knowing the irreducible characters of G determines the set of all normal subgroups of G .

COROLLARY: *Let χ be a character of G afforded by \mathcal{X} . Then $\{g \in G \mid |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$ is the preimage in G of the normal subgroup of $\mathcal{X}(G)$ consisting of scalar matrices. In particular, this set is a normal subgroup of G .*

COROLLARY: *Let χ be a character of G afforded by \mathcal{X} . Then $\{g \in G \mid |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$ is the preimage in G of the normal subgroup of $\mathcal{X}(G)$ consisting of scalar matrices. In particular, this set is a normal subgroup of G .*

Notation: We write

$$\mathbf{Z}(\chi) = \{g \in G \mid |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}.$$

This normal subgroup is the **center** of χ .

EXERCISE (3.4): Let χ be a character of G . Prove that $\mathbf{Z}(\chi)/\ker(\chi)$ is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}(G/\ker(\chi))$.

EXERCISE (3.5): If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, show that
$$\mathbf{Z}(\chi)/\ker(\chi) = \mathbf{Z}(G/\ker(\chi)).$$

EXERCISE (3.6): Let P be a p -group for some prime p . Show that P has a faithful irreducible character if and only if $\mathbf{Z}(P)$ is cyclic.

EXERCISE (3.7): Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where G is a simple group of even order. Show that $\chi(1) \neq 2$.

HINT: Let $g \in G$ have order 2. Consider the values of $\chi(g)$ and $\det(\chi)(g)$.

EXERCISE (3.8): Let G be a simple group and suppose $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ has degree 3. Compute $\chi(g)$ for an element $g \in G$ of order 2.

EXERCISE (3.9): Repeat the above if χ has degree 4.

EXERCISE (3.10): If $H \subseteq G$ and χ is a character of G , it is easy to see that the restriction of χ to H , denoted χ_H , is a character of H . Show that $H \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$ iff χ_H has the form $e\lambda$, where e is a positive integer and λ is a linear character of H .

EXERCISE (3.11): Let $H \subseteq G$ and let χ be a character of G such that the restriction χ_H is irreducible. Show that $\mathbf{C}_G(H) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.

Chapter 4:

ORTHOGONALITY

G acts by right multiplication on $\mathbb{C}[G]$, so each element $g \in G$ determines a linear transformation on $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

G acts by right multiplication on $\mathbb{C}[G]$, so each element $g \in G$ determines a linear transformation on $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

We get a \mathbb{C} -representation of G by choosing a basis for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

G acts by right multiplication on $\mathbb{C}[G]$, so each element $g \in G$ determines a linear transformation on $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

We get a \mathbb{C} -representation of G by choosing a basis for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

The character afforded by this representation is the **regular** character of G , denoted ρ or ρ_G .

To compute ρ , we can use the basis G for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

To compute ρ , we can use the basis G for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

If \mathcal{R} is the corresponding representation, $\mathcal{R}(g)$ is an $|G| \times |G|$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of G .

To compute ρ , we can use the basis G for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

If \mathcal{R} is the corresponding representation, $\mathcal{R}(g)$ is an $|G| \times |G|$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of G .

If $x, y \in G$, then the entry of $\mathcal{R}(g)$ at position (x, y) is 1 if $xg = y$ and 0 otherwise.

To compute ρ , we can use the basis G for $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

If \mathcal{R} is the corresponding representation, $\mathcal{R}(g)$ is an $|G| \times |G|$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of G .

If $x, y \in G$, then the entry of $\mathcal{R}(g)$ at position (x, y) is 1 if $xg = y$ and 0 otherwise.

If $g \neq 1$, therefore, all diagonal entries of $\mathcal{R}(g)$ are 0.

We thus have

$$\rho(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \neq 1 \\ |G| & \text{if } g = 1. \end{cases}$$

We thus have

$$\rho(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \neq 1 \\ |G| & \text{if } g = 1. \end{cases}$$

Next, we wish to express the character ρ in terms of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

Fact:

$$\rho = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi.$$

Fact:

$$\rho = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi.$$

This is proved using a different basis for $\mathbb{C}[G]$, one compatible with the decomposition as a direct sum of matrix rings. (We omit the proof.)

Fact:

$$\rho = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi.$$

This is proved using a different basis for $\mathbb{C}[G]$, one compatible with the decomposition as a direct sum of matrix rings. (We omit the proof.)

As a check (but not a proof) observe that

$$|G| = \rho(1) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2.$$

Recall: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of matrix rings. The summands correspond to members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

Recall: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of matrix rings. The summands correspond to members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, let e_χ be the unit element of the direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponding to χ .

Recall: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of matrix rings. The summands correspond to members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, let e_χ be the unit element of the direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponding to χ .

For $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, we have

$$e_\chi e_\psi = \begin{cases} e_\chi & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

Recall: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of matrix rings. The summands correspond to members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, let e_χ be the unit element of the direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponding to χ .

For $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, we have

$$e_\chi e_\psi = \begin{cases} e_\chi & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

The e_χ are the **central idempotents** of $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Recall: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of matrix rings. The summands correspond to members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, let e_χ be the unit element of the direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponding to χ .

For $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, we have

$$e_\chi e_\psi = \begin{cases} e_\chi & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

The e_χ are the **central idempotents** of $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Note: $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} e_\chi = 1.$

Let \mathcal{X}_χ be the representation obtained by the projection of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ onto the direct summand corresponding to χ , and note that \mathcal{X}_χ affords χ .

Let \mathcal{X}_χ be the representation obtained by the projection of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ onto the direct summand corresponding to χ , and note that \mathcal{X}_χ affords χ .

Then $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\chi)$ is the $\chi(1) \times \chi(1)$ identity matrix and $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\psi) = 0$ if $\psi \neq \chi$.

Let \mathcal{X}_χ be the representation obtained by the projection of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ onto the direct summand corresponding to χ , and note that \mathcal{X}_χ affords χ .

Then $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\chi)$ is the $\chi(1) \times \chi(1)$ identity matrix and $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\psi) = 0$ if $\psi \neq \chi$.

For arbitrary $u \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_\chi(ue_\psi) = \mathcal{X}_\chi(u)\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\psi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_\chi(u) & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{X}_χ be the representation obtained by the projection of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ onto the direct summand corresponding to χ , and note that \mathcal{X}_χ affords χ .

Then $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\chi)$ is the $\chi(1) \times \chi(1)$ identity matrix and $\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\psi) = 0$ if $\psi \neq \chi$.

For arbitrary $u \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_\chi(ue_\psi) = \mathcal{X}_\chi(u)\mathcal{X}_\chi(e_\psi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_\chi(u) & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\chi(ue_\psi) = \begin{cases} \chi(u) & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi. \end{cases}$$

Write $e_\chi = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ with complex coefficients a_g .

Write $e_\chi = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ with complex coefficients a_g .

Then a_g is the coefficient of 1 in $g^{-1}e_\chi$.

Write $e_\chi = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ with complex coefficients a_g .

Then a_g is the coefficient of 1 in $g^{-1}e_\chi$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G|a_g &= \rho(g^{-1}e_\chi) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \psi(1)\psi(g^{-1}e_\chi) \\ &= \chi(1)\chi(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Write $e_\chi = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ with complex coefficients a_g .

Then a_g is the coefficient of 1 in $g^{-1}e_\chi$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G|a_g &= \rho(g^{-1}e_\chi) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \psi(1)\psi(g^{-1}e_\chi) \\ &= \chi(1)\chi(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a_g = \frac{\chi(1)\overline{\chi(g)}}{|G|}.$$

Now compare the coefficients of 1 in $e_{\chi} = (e_{\chi})^2$.

Now compare the coefficients of 1 in $e_\chi = (e_\chi)^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\chi(1)^2}{|G|} &= \sum_{g \in G} (a_{g^{-1}})(a_g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{\chi(1)\chi(g)}{|G|} \right) \left(\frac{\chi(1)\overline{\chi(g)}}{|G|} \right) \\ &= \frac{\chi(1)^2}{|G|^2} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\overline{\chi(g)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now compare the coefficients of 1 in $e_\chi = (e_\chi)^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\chi(1)^2}{|G|} &= \sum_{g \in G} (a_{g^{-1}})(a_g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{\chi(1)\chi(g)}{|G|} \right) \left(\frac{\chi(1)\overline{\chi(g)}}{|G|} \right) \\ &= \frac{\chi(1)^2}{|G|^2} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\overline{\chi(g)}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } 1 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\chi(g)|^2.$$

Now suppose $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are different, and compare the coefficients of 1 in $0 = e_\chi e_\psi$.

Now suppose $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are different, and compare the coefficients of 1 in $0 = e_\chi e_\psi$.

Writing $e_\chi = \sum a_g g$ and $e_\psi = \sum b_g g$, we get

Now suppose $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are different, and compare the coefficients of 1 in $0 = e_\chi e_\psi$.

Writing $e_\chi = \sum a_g g$ and $e_\psi = \sum b_g g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{g \in G} (a_{g^{-1}})(b_g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{\chi(1)\chi(g)}{|G|} \right) \left(\frac{\psi(1)\overline{\psi(g)}}{|G|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are different, and compare the coefficients of 1 in $0 = e_\chi e_\psi$.

Writing $e_\chi = \sum a_g g$ and $e_\psi = \sum b_g g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{g \in G} (a_{g^{-1}})(b_g) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{\chi(1)\chi(g)}{|G|} \right) \left(\frac{\psi(1)\overline{\psi(g)}}{|G|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\overline{\psi(g)} = 0$.

We now have the **first orthogonality relation**:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\psi(g)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi, \end{cases}$$

for $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Notation: For class functions α and β on G , we write

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) \overline{\beta(g)}.$$

Notation: For class functions α and β on G , we write

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\beta(x)}.$$

This is an inner product on the space $\text{cf}(G)$ of class functions, and $\text{Irr}(G)$ is an orthonormal basis for this space.

Notation: For class functions α and β on G , we write

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\beta(x)}.$$

This is an inner product on the space $\text{cf}(G)$ of class functions, and $\text{Irr}(G)$ is an orthonormal basis for this space.

Note: The form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is linear in the first variable and conjugate-linear in the second.

Notation: For class functions α and β on G , we write

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\beta(x)}.$$

This is an inner product on the space $\text{cf}(G)$ of class functions, and $\text{Irr}(G)$ is an orthonormal basis for this space.

Note: The form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is linear in the first variable and conjugate-linear in the second.

Also $[\beta, \alpha] = \overline{[\alpha, \beta]}$ and $[\alpha\beta, \gamma] = [\alpha, \overline{\beta}\gamma]$.

If α is an arbitrary class function on G , we know that α is a linear combination of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

If α is an arbitrary class function on G , we know that α is a linear combination of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

The coefficient of χ in this linear combination is $[\alpha, \chi]$, so we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} [\alpha, \chi] \chi.$$

If α is an arbitrary class function on G , we know that α is a linear combination of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

The coefficient of χ in this linear combination is $[\alpha, \chi]$, so we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} [\alpha, \chi] \chi.$$

Observe that $[\rho, \chi] = \chi(1)$ since ρ vanishes on nonidentity group elements and $\rho(1) = |G|$.

If α is an arbitrary class function on G , we know that α is a linear combination of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

The coefficient of χ in this linear combination is $[\alpha, \chi]$, so we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} [\alpha, \chi] \chi.$$

Observe that $[\rho, \chi] = \chi(1)$ since ρ vanishes on nonidentity group elements and $\rho(1) = |G|$.

Thus $\rho = \sum \chi(1)\chi$, which is a fact we stated previously, but did not prove.

If α is an arbitrary class function on G , we know that α is a linear combination of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

The coefficient of χ in this linear combination is $[\alpha, \chi]$, so we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} [\alpha, \chi] \chi.$$

Observe that $[\rho, \chi] = \chi(1)$ since ρ vanishes on nonidentity group elements and $\rho(1) = |G|$.

Thus $\rho = \sum \chi(1)\chi$, which is a fact we stated previously, but did not prove.

Of course, this is *not* a proof.

COROLLARY: *Let α be a nonzero class function on G . Then α is a character iff $[\alpha, \chi]$ is a nonnegative integer for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.*

COROLLARY: *Let α be a nonzero class function on G . Then α is a character iff $[\alpha, \chi]$ is a nonnegative integer for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.*

COROLLARY: *Let χ be a character of G . Then χ is irreducible iff $[\chi, \chi] = 1$.*

EXERCISE (4.1): Let $H \subseteq G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and recall that χ_H is the character of H obtained by restricting χ to H . Show that $[\chi_H, \chi_H] \leq |G : H|$ with equality iff χ has the value 0 on all elements of $G - H$.

EXERCISE (4.2): If G is abelian, show that $[\chi, \chi] \geq \chi(1)$ for all (not necessarily irreducible) characters χ of G .

EXERCISE (4.3): Let $H \subseteq G$, with H abelian, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Show that $\chi(1) \leq |G : H|$.

EXERCISE (4.4): Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where G is a nontrivial group. Show that there is a nonidentity element $g \in G$ such that $\chi(g) \neq 0$.

EXERCISE (4.5): Let $H < G$ with H is abelian. If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $|G : H| = \chi(1)$, show that H contains a nonidentity normal subgroup of G .

EXERCISE (4.6): Let G act on a set Ω . Let π be the corresponding permutation character, so $\pi(g) = |\{t \in \Omega \mid t \cdot g = t\}|$. Show that π is a character of G and $[\pi, 1_G]$ is the number of orbits of G on Ω .

EXERCISE (4.7): Let $G = A_5$, the alternating group, and let π be the permutation character of the natural action of G on five points. Show that $\chi = \pi - 1_G$ is an irreducible character of G having degree 4.

EXERCISE (4.8): Again let $G = A_5$ and observe that G acts by conjugation on the set of six Sylow 5-subgroups of G . Let σ be the permutation character of this action. Show that $\psi = \sigma - 1_G$ is an irreducible character of G having degree 5.

It is customary to display the irreducible characters of a group G in a **character table**.

It is customary to display the irreducible characters of a group G in a **character table**.

This is a square array of complex numbers with rows indexed by $\text{Irr}(G)$ and columns indexed by the set of classes of G . The entry in a given row and column is the common value of the given character on the elements of the given class.

Usually, the first column of the character table corresponds to the class of the identity in G , and thus we see the irreducible character degrees of G by reading down this column.

Usually, the first column of the character table corresponds to the class of the identity in G , and thus we see the irreducible character degrees of G by reading down this column.

It is also customary for the first row of the character table to correspond to the principal character 1_G , and thus the first row consists of 1s.

Usually, the first column of the character table corresponds to the class of the identity in G , and thus we see the irreducible character degrees of G by reading down this column.

It is also customary for the first row of the character table to correspond to the principal character 1_G , and thus the first row consists of 1s.

EXERCISE (4.9): Show that knowing the character table of G determines whether or not G is solvable or G is nilpotent.

We introduce some temporary notation now.

Write $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_k\}$ and let g_j be a representative of the j th conjugacy class of G .

We introduce some temporary notation now.

Write $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_k\}$ and let g_j be a representative of the j th conjugacy class of G .

The character table of G is thus the matrix X with (i, j) -entry equal to $\chi_i(g_j)$.

We introduce some temporary notation now.

Write $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_k\}$ and let g_j be a representative of the j th conjugacy class of G .

The character table of G is thus the matrix X with (i, j) -entry equal to $\chi_i(g_j)$.

Write d_t to denote the size of the t th conjugacy class of G . Then by the first orthogonality relation, we have:

$$\delta_{i,j} = [\chi_i, \chi_j] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t=1}^k \chi_i(g_t) d_t \overline{\chi_j(g_t)}.$$

$$\delta_{i,j} = [\chi_i, \chi_j] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t=1}^k \chi_i(g_t) d_t \overline{\chi_j(g_t)}.$$

We can rewrite this in matrix notation:

$$I = \frac{1}{|G|} X D \overline{X^t},$$

where $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$.

$$\delta_{i,j} = [\chi_i, \chi_j] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t=1}^k \chi_i(g_t) d_t \overline{\chi_j(g_t)}.$$

We can rewrite this in matrix notation:

$$I = \frac{1}{|G|} X D \overline{X^t},$$

where $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$.

Then

$$I = \frac{1}{|G|} \overline{X^t} X D.$$

We have

$$|G|I = \overline{X^t} X D$$

so

$$|G|\delta_{i,j} = \sum_{t=1}^k \overline{\chi_t(g_i)} \chi_t(g_j) d_j .$$

We have

$$|G|I = \overline{X^t} X D$$

so

$$|G|\delta_{i,j} = \sum_{t=1}^k \overline{\chi_t(g_i)} \chi_t(g_j) d_j .$$

Now $|G|/d_j = |\mathbf{C}_G(g_j)|$, and thus for $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \overline{\chi(x)} \chi(y) = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{C}_G(x)| & \text{if } x \sim y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x \sim y$ means that x and y are conjugate.

This is the **second orthogonality relation**, also called “column orthogonality”. Since it is a consequence of the first orthogonality relation, or “row orthogonality”, it gives no additional information about the characters of G . It is, however, useful, especially when constructing character tables.

This is the **second orthogonality relation**, also called “column orthogonality”. Since it is a consequence of the first orthogonality relation, or “row orthogonality”, it gives no additional information about the characters of G . It is, however, useful, especially when constructing character tables.

A consequence is that no two columns of the character table can be identical.

This is the **second orthogonality relation**, also called “column orthogonality”. Since it is a consequence of the first orthogonality relation, or “row orthogonality”, it gives no additional information about the characters of G . It is, however, useful, especially when constructing character tables.

A consequence is that no two columns of the character table can be identical.

If $x, y \in G$ are not conjugate, therefore, there exists $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi(x) \neq \chi(y)$.

Chapter 5:

INTEGRALITY

An **algebraic integer** is a complex number that is a root of a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

An **algebraic integer** is a complex number that is a root of a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Examples: Elements of \mathbb{Z} , roots of unity and things like $\sqrt[n]{m}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are positive.

An **algebraic integer** is a complex number that is a root of a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Examples: Elements of \mathbb{Z} , roots of unity and things like $\sqrt[n]{m}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Fact: The algebraic integers are a subring of \mathbb{C} .

COROLLARY: *Character values are algebraic integers.*

Fact: Rational algebraic integers are in \mathbb{Z} .

Fact: Rational algebraic integers are in \mathbb{Z} .

Fact: Suppose $u_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let R be the set of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the u_i . If R is closed under multiplication, then all u_i are algebraic integers.

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let \mathcal{X} afford χ .

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let \mathcal{X} afford χ .

Let $z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ so $\mathcal{X}(z)$ commutes with all matrices in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}[G])$. Since this is a full matrix ring, we see that $\mathcal{X}(z)$ is a scalar matrix.

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let \mathcal{X} afford χ .

Let $z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ so $\mathcal{X}(z)$ commutes with all matrices in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}[G])$. Since this is a full matrix ring, we see that $\mathcal{X}(z)$ is a scalar matrix.

Thus $\mathcal{X}(z) = \omega I$ for some complex number ω , and we have

$$\chi(z) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(z)) = \text{trace}(\omega I) = \omega \chi(1).$$

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let \mathcal{X} afford χ .

Let $z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ so $\mathcal{X}(z)$ commutes with all matrices in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}[G])$. Since this is a full matrix ring, we see that $\mathcal{X}(z)$ is a scalar matrix.

Thus $\mathcal{X}(z) = \omega I$ for some complex number ω , and we have

$$\chi(z) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{X}(z)) = \text{trace}(\omega I) = \omega \chi(1).$$

It follows that ω depends on χ but not on \mathcal{X} , and we write ω_χ for ω .

We have $\omega_\chi(z) = \chi(z)/\chi(1)$.

We have $\omega_\chi(z) = \chi(z)/\chi(1)$.

Also $\mathcal{X}(z) = \omega_\chi(z)I$, and it follows that ω_χ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We have $\omega_\chi(z) = \chi(z)/\chi(1)$.

Also $\mathcal{X}(z) = \omega_\chi(z)I$, and it follows that ω_χ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The function ω_χ is sometimes referred to as a **central character**.

We have $\omega_\chi(z) = \chi(z)/\chi(1)$.

Also $\mathcal{X}(z) = \omega_\chi(z)I$, and it follows that ω_χ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The function ω_χ is sometimes referred to as a **central character**.

Recall that the conjugacy class sums in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ form a basis for $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ so the central characters are determined by their values on class sums.

Let K be a class of G and write \widehat{K} to denote the sum of the elements of K , so $\widehat{K} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$.

Let K be a class of G and write \widehat{K} to denote the sum of the elements of K , so $\widehat{K} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$.

We have $\chi(\widehat{K}) = |K|\chi(g)$, where $g \in K$.

Let K be a class of G and write \widehat{K} to denote the sum of the elements of K , so $\widehat{K} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{C}[G])$.

We have $\chi(\widehat{K}) = |K|\chi(g)$, where $g \in K$.

Then

$$\omega_{\chi}(\widehat{K}) = \frac{\chi(\widehat{K})}{\chi(1)} = \frac{|K|\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}.$$

Now suppose K and L are classes of G . Then $\widehat{K}\widehat{L}$ is a linear combination of class sums, so we can write

$$\widehat{K}\widehat{L} = \sum_M a_{K,L,M} \widehat{M},$$

where M runs over the classes of G .

Now suppose K and L are classes of G . Then $\widehat{K}\widehat{L}$ is a linear combination of class sums, so we can write

$$\widehat{K}\widehat{L} = \sum_M a_{K,L,M} \widehat{M},$$

where M runs over the classes of G .

The coefficient of each group element on the left of the above equation is a nonnegative integer, so the coefficients $a_{K,L,M}$ must also be nonnegative integers.

We have

$$\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\omega_\chi(\widehat{L}) = \sum a_{K,L,M}\omega_\chi(\widehat{M}).$$

We have

$$\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\omega_\chi(\widehat{L}) = \sum a_{K,L,M}\omega_\chi(\widehat{M}).$$

As K runs over the classes of G , we see that the set of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the complex numbers $\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})$ is closed under multiplication.

We have

$$\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\omega_\chi(\widehat{L}) = \sum a_{K,L,M}\omega_\chi(\widehat{M}).$$

As K runs over the classes of G , we see that the set of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the complex numbers $\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})$ is closed under multiplication.

These numbers, therefore, are algebraic integers.

We have

$$\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\omega_\chi(\widehat{L}) = \sum a_{K,L,M}\omega_\chi(\widehat{M}).$$

As K runs over the classes of G , we see that the set of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the complex numbers $\omega_\chi(\widehat{K})$ is closed under multiplication.

These numbers, therefore, are algebraic integers.

THEOREM: *Let $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and let K be the class of g in G . Then $\omega_\chi(\widehat{K}) = \frac{|K|\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}$ is an algebraic integer.*

THEOREM: $\chi(1)$ divides $|G|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

THEOREM: $\chi(1)$ divides $|G|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Proof: For each class K of G , let g_K be an element of K . By the first orthogonality relation

$$|G| = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\chi(g)} = \sum_K |K| \chi(g_K) \overline{\chi(g_K)},$$

where the second sum runs over the classes of G .

Since $|K|\chi(g_K) = \omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\chi(1)$, we have

$$|G| = \chi(1) \sum_K \omega_\chi(\widehat{K}) \overline{\chi(x_K)}.$$

Since $|K|\chi(g_K) = \omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\chi(1)$, we have

$$|G| = \chi(1) \sum_K \omega_\chi(\widehat{K}) \overline{\chi(x_K)}.$$

so the rational number $|G|/\chi(1)$ is an algebraic integer, and hence lies in \mathbb{Z} . ■

Since $|K|\chi(g_K) = \omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\chi(1)$, we have

$$|G| = \chi(1) \sum_K \omega_\chi(\widehat{K}) \overline{\chi(x_K)}.$$

so the rational number $|G|/\chi(1)$ is an algebraic integer, and hence lies in \mathbb{Z} . ■

Note: A related argument can be used to prove the following stronger result.

Since $|K|\chi(g_K) = \omega_\chi(\widehat{K})\chi(1)$, we have

$$|G| = \chi(1) \sum_K \omega_\chi(\widehat{K}) \overline{\chi(x_K)}.$$

so the rational number $|G|/\chi(1)$ is an algebraic integer, and hence lies in \mathbb{Z} . ■

Note: A related argument can be used to prove the following stronger result.

Fact: Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Then $|G : \mathbf{Z}(G)|$ is divisible by $\chi(1)$.

EXERCISE (5.1): Let G be a p -group, where $G' = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ has order p . Prove the following.

- (a) Each noncentral class of G has size p .
- (b) G has exactly $p - 1$ nonlinear irreducible characters.
- (c) The average of $\chi(1)^2$ for nonlinear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is $|G|/p$.
- (d) $\chi(1)^2 \leq |G|/p$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and thus $\chi(1)^2 = |G|/p$ for nonlinear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Note: Groups of this type are **extraspecial**.

We begin work now toward a proof of Burnside's famous $p^a q^b$ -theorem.

We begin work now toward a proof of Burnside's famous $p^a q^b$ -theorem.

LEMMA: *Let χ be a character of G , where $|G| = n$, and let $g \in G$. Suppose $|\chi(g)| < \chi(1)$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$, where \mathbb{Q}_n is the n th cyclotomic field. Then $|\chi(g)^\sigma| < \chi(1)$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

We begin work now toward a proof of Burnside's famous $p^a q^b$ -theorem.

LEMMA: *Let χ be a character of G , where $|G| = n$, and let $g \in G$. Suppose $|\chi(g)| < \chi(1)$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$, where \mathbb{Q}_n is the n th cyclotomic field. Then $|\chi(g)^\sigma| < \chi(1)$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof: We know that $\chi(g)$ is a sum of $\chi(1)$ roots of unity in \mathbb{Q}_n . These are not all equal since $|\chi(g)| < \chi(1)$. It follows that $\chi(g)^\sigma$ is also a sum of $\chi(1)$ roots of unity that are not all equal. The result follows. ■

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, and assume that $\chi(1)$ is relatively prime to the size of the class K containing g . Then either $\chi(g) = 0$ or $g \in \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.*

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, and assume that $\chi(1)$ is relatively prime to the size of the class K containing g . Then either $\chi(g) = 0$ or $g \in \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.*

Proof: Write $a\chi(1) + b|K| = 1$ for integers a, b .

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, and assume that $\chi(1)$ is relatively prime to the size of the class K containing g . Then either $\chi(g) = 0$ or $g \in \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.*

Proof: Write $a\chi(1) + b|K| = 1$ for integers a, b .
Now $\omega = \chi(g)|K|/\chi(1)$ is an algebraic integer.

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, and assume that $\chi(1)$ is relatively prime to the size of the class K containing g . Then either $\chi(g) = 0$ or $g \in \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.*

Proof: Write $a\chi(1) + b|K| = 1$ for integers a, b .

Now $\omega = \chi(g)|K|/\chi(1)$ is an algebraic integer.

Then

$$\frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} = \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} (a\chi(1) + b|K|) = a\chi(g) + b\omega$$

is an algebraic integer.

Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$, where $n = |G|$, and let

$$z = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \right)^{\sigma},$$

so z is an algebraic integer in \mathbb{Q} . Then $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$, where $n = |G|$, and let

$$z = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \right)^\sigma,$$

so z is an algebraic integer in \mathbb{Q} . Then $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume now that $g \notin \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$. Then $|\chi(g)| < \chi(1)$, so by the lemma, $|\chi(g)^\sigma| < \chi(1)$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$, where $n = |G|$, and let

$$z = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \right)^\sigma,$$

so z is an algebraic integer in \mathbb{Q} . Then $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume now that $g \notin \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$. Then $|\chi(g)| < \chi(1)$, so by the lemma, $|\chi(g)^\sigma| < \chi(1)$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

Each factor of z has absolute value less than 1, so $|z| < 1$. Since $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $z = 0$. Then $\chi(g)^\sigma = 0$ for some σ , so $\chi(g) = 0$. ■

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let G be nonabelian and simple. Then no nontrivial conjugacy class of G has prime power size.*

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let G be nonabelian and simple. Then no nontrivial conjugacy class of G has prime power size.*

Proof: Suppose $|K|$ is a power of a prime p , where K is a nontrivial class, and let $g \in K$, so $g \neq 1$.

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let G be nonabelian and simple. Then no nontrivial conjugacy class of G has prime power size.*

Proof: Suppose $|K|$ is a power of a prime p , where K is a nontrivial class, and let $g \in K$, so $g \neq 1$.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, then $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) \triangleleft G$. If $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) = G$, then χ is linear. But $G' = G$, so χ is principal.

THEOREM (Burnside): *Let G be nonabelian and simple. Then no nontrivial conjugacy class of G has prime power size.*

Proof: Suppose $|K|$ is a power of a prime p , where K is a nontrivial class, and let $g \in K$, so $g \neq 1$.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, then $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) \triangleleft G$. If $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) = G$, then χ is linear. But $G' = G$, so χ is principal.

Thus if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is nonprincipal, $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) = 1$.

By the previous theorem, $\chi(g) = 0$ for all non-principal χ where p does not divide $\chi(1)$.

By the previous theorem, $\chi(g) = 0$ for all non-principal χ where p does not divide $\chi(1)$.

We have

$$0 = \rho(g) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi(g)$$

Separate $\chi = 1_G$ and sum only over χ for which p divides $\chi(1)$.

By the previous theorem, $\chi(g) = 0$ for all non-principal χ where p does not divide $\chi(1)$.

We have

$$0 = \rho(g) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi(g)$$

Separate $\chi = 1_G$ and sum only over χ for which p divides $\chi(1)$.

This yields $0 = 1 + p\alpha$, where α is an algebraic integer. Since $\alpha = -1/p \in \mathbb{Q}$, this is a contradiction. ■

THEOREM (Burnside): *If $|G|$ has at most two prime divisors, then G is solvable.*

THEOREM (Burnside): *If $|G|$ has at most two prime divisors, then G is solvable.*

Proof: Let G be a minimal counterexample, so G is nonabelian. If $N \triangleleft G$ with $1 < N < G$, then N and G/N are solvable, so G is solvable, which is a contradiction. Thus G is simple.

THEOREM (Burnside): *If $|G|$ has at most two prime divisors, then G is solvable.*

Proof: Let G be a minimal counterexample, so G is nonabelian. If $N \triangleleft G$ with $1 < N < G$, then N and G/N are solvable, so G is solvable, which is a contradiction. Thus G is simple.

Let $P > 1$ be a Sylow subgroup of G . Choose $z \in \mathbf{Z}(P)$ with $z \neq 1$. Let K be the class of z in G , so $|K| = |G : \mathbf{C}_G(z)|$, and this divides $|G : P|$, which is a prime power, by hypothesis. This contradicts the previous theorem. ■

EXERCISE (5.2): Suppose that no prime other than p or q divides the degree of any member of $\text{Irr}(G)$. Show that G cannot be a nonabelian simple group.

Chapter 6:

INDUCED CHARACTERS

Let $H \subseteq G$. If χ is a character of G then the restriction χ_H is a character of H . In this chapter, we start with a character of H and produce a character of G .

Let $H \subseteq G$. If χ is a character of G then the restriction χ_H is a character of H . In this chapter, we start with a character of H and produce a character of G .

More generally, start with a class function α of H . We describe how to build the **induced** class function α^G of G .

Let $H \subseteq G$. If χ is a character of G then the restriction χ_H is a character of H . In this chapter, we start with a character of H and produce a character of G .

More generally, start with a class function α of H . We describe how to build the **induced** class function α^G of G .

For $g \in G$, we first define

$$\alpha^0(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \notin H \\ \alpha(g) & \text{if } g \in H. \end{cases}$$

To convert α^0 into a class-function on G we want to sum over all conjugates of g that happen to lie in H .

To convert α^0 into a class-function on G we want to sum over all conjugates of g that happen to lie in H .

For $g \in G$, we define

$$\alpha^G(g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}).$$

Of course, the “normalization factor” $1/|H|$ is not needed to make this a class function, but it is convenient for other reasons.

Note: If g is not conjugate to any element of H , then $\alpha^G(g) = 0$.

Note: If g is not conjugate to any element of H , then $\alpha^G(g) = 0$.

Note: If $H = G$, then

$$\alpha^G(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(xgx^{-1}) = \alpha(g),$$

so $\alpha^G = \alpha$ in this case.

Note: If g is not conjugate to any element of H , then $\alpha^G(g) = 0$.

Note: If $H = G$, then

$$\alpha^G(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(xgx^{-1}) = \alpha(g),$$

so $\alpha^G = \alpha$ in this case.

Note: We have

$$\alpha^G(1) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(1) = |G : H| \alpha(1).$$

Note: Let α be the principal character of the trivial subgroup of G . Then by the previous notes, α^G has the value 0 on nonidentity elements of G and $\alpha^G(1) = |G|$. Thus, $\alpha^G = \rho$,

Note: Let α be the principal character of the trivial subgroup of G . Then by the previous notes, α^G has the value 0 on nonidentity elements of G and $\alpha^G(1) = |G|$. Thus, $\alpha^G = \rho$,

Note: An alternative formula for α^G is

$$\alpha^G(g) = \sum_{t \in T} \alpha^0(tgt^{-1}),$$

where T is a set of representatives for the right cosets of H in G .

Note: Let α be the principal character of the trivial subgroup of G . Then by the previous notes, α^G has the value 0 on nonidentity elements of G and $\alpha^G(1) = |G|$. Thus, $\alpha^G = \rho$,

Note: An alternative formula for α^G is

$$\alpha^G(g) = \sum_{t \in T} \alpha^0(tgt^{-1}),$$

where T is a set of representatives for the right cosets of H in G .

This works because $\alpha^0((ht)g(ht)^{-1}) = \alpha^0(tgt^{-1})$ for $h \in H$.

EXERCISE (6.1): Suppose $G = HK$, where H and K are subgroups, and let $D = H \cap K$. If α is a class function on H . Show that

$$(\alpha^G)_K = (\alpha_D)^K .$$

EXERCISE (6.2): Let $H \subseteq G$. Let α be a class function on H and let β be a class function on G . Show that

$$(\beta_H \alpha)^G = \beta \alpha^G .$$

EXERCISE (6.3): Let $H \subseteq K \subseteq G$ and let α be a class function on H . Show that

$$(\alpha^K)^G = \alpha^G .$$

THEOREM (Frobenius reciprocity). *Let $H \subseteq G$. Let α be a class function on H and let β be a class function on G . Then*

$$[\alpha^G, \beta] = [\alpha, \beta_H].$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha^G, \beta] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha^G(g) \overline{\beta(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}) \overline{\beta(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha^G, \beta] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha^G(g) \overline{\beta(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}) \overline{\beta(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Change variables: $h = xgx^{-1}$, so $g = x^{-1}hx$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha^G, \beta] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{h \in H} \alpha(h) \overline{\beta(x^{-1}hx)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \alpha(h) \overline{\beta(h)} = [\alpha, \beta_H]. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY: Let $H \subseteq G$ and let ψ be a character of H . Then ψ^G is a character of G .

COROLLARY: Let $H \subseteq G$ and let ψ be a character of H . Then ψ^G is a character of G .

Proof: Since ψ^G is a nonzero class function of G , it suffices to show that $[\psi^G, \chi]$ is a nonnegative integer for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

COROLLARY: Let $H \subseteq G$ and let ψ be a character of H . Then ψ^G is a character of G .

Proof: Since ψ^G is a nonzero class function of G , it suffices to show that $[\psi^G, \chi]$ is a nonnegative integer for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

We have $[\psi^G, \chi] = [\psi, \chi_H]$, and since this is the inner product of two characters of H , it is a nonnegative integer. ■

Note: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where $H \subseteq G$. Then ψ is a constituent of χ_H iff χ is a constituent of ψ^G .

Note: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where $H \subseteq G$. Then ψ is a constituent of χ_H iff χ is a constituent of ψ^G .

In this situation, we say that χ **lies over** ψ and that ψ **lies under** χ .

Note: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where $H \subseteq G$. Then ψ is a constituent of χ_H iff χ is a constituent of ψ^G .

In this situation, we say that χ **lies over** ψ and that ψ **lies under** χ .

Notation: Let $H \subseteq G$ and $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$. We write $\text{Irr}(G|\psi)$ to denote the set of irreducible characters of G that lie over ψ .

Note: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where $H \subseteq G$. Then ψ is a constituent of χ_H iff χ is a constituent of ψ^G .

In this situation, we say that χ **lies over** ψ and that ψ **lies under** χ .

Notation: Let $H \subseteq G$ and $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$. We write $\text{Irr}(G|\psi)$ to denote the set of irreducible characters of G that lie over ψ .

Equivalently, $\text{Irr}(G|\psi)$ is the set of irreducible constituents of ψ^G .

EXERCISE(6.4): Suppose that every nonlinear irreducible character of G has degree at least n . Show that if $H \subseteq G$ and $|G : H| \leq n$, then $G' \subseteq H$, and thus $H \triangleleft G$.

HINT: Consider the character $(1_H)^G$, where 1_H is the principal character of H .

THEOREM (Frobenius): Let $H \subseteq G$, and assume $H \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G - H$. Then $N = (G - \bigcup_{x \in G} H^x) \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup of G .

THEOREM (Frobenius): *Let $H \subseteq G$, and assume $H \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G - H$. Then $N = (G - \bigcup_{x \in G} H^x) \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup of G .*

Note: N is obviously normal.

THEOREM (Frobenius): Let $H \subseteq G$, and assume $H \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G - H$. Then $N = (G - \bigcup_{x \in G} H^x) \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Note: N is obviously normal.

Note: Since $H = \mathbf{N}_G(H)$, the number of distinct conjugates of H is $|G : H|$, and thus

$$|N| = |G| - |G : H|(|H| - 1) = |G : H|.$$

THEOREM (Frobenius): Let $H \subseteq G$, and assume $H \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G - H$. Then $N = (G - \bigcup_{x \in G} H^x) \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Note: N is obviously normal.

Note: Since $H = \mathbf{N}_G(H)$, the number of distinct conjugates of H is $|G : H|$, and thus

$$|N| = |G| - |G : H|(|H| - 1) = |G : H|.$$

Note: $N \cap H = 1$, so assuming that N is a subgroup, we have $|NH| = |N||H| = |G|$, and thus $NH = G$.

If H is as in the statement of Frobenius' theorem, and $1 < H < G$, we say that G is a **Frobenius group**. Also, H is a **Frobenius complement** in G and the subgroup N is a **Frobenius kernel**.

If H is as in the statement of Frobenius' theorem, and $1 < H < G$, we say that G is a **Frobenius group**. Also, H is a **Frobenius complement** in G and the subgroup N is a **Frobenius kernel**.

Proof of Frobenius' theorem: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ with $\psi \neq 1_H$, and let $\alpha = \psi - \psi(1)1_H$, so α is a class function on H and $\alpha(1) = 0$. Then $\alpha^G(1) = 0$.

If H is as in the statement of Frobenius' theorem, and $1 < H < G$, we say that G is a **Frobenius group**. Also, H is a **Frobenius complement** in G and the subgroup N is a **Frobenius kernel**.

Proof of Frobenius' theorem: Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ with $\psi \neq 1_H$, and let $\alpha = \psi - \psi(1)1_H$, so α is a class function on H and $\alpha(1) = 0$. Then $\alpha^G(1) = 0$.

Let $h \in H$ with $h \neq 1$. Then $x^{-1}hx$ lies in H iff $h \in H^x$, and since $H \cap H^x = 1$ if x is not in H , this happens iff $x \in H$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^G(h) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xhx^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in H} \alpha(h) = \alpha(h),\end{aligned}$$

and thus $(\alpha^G)_H = \alpha$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^G(h) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xhx^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in H} \alpha(h) = \alpha(h),\end{aligned}$$

and thus $(\alpha^G)_H = \alpha$.

Recall that $\alpha = \psi - \psi(1)1_H$, where $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^G(h) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xhx^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in H} \alpha(h) = \alpha(h),\end{aligned}$$

and thus $(\alpha^G)_H = \alpha$.

Recall that $\alpha = \psi - \psi(1)1_H$, where $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Then $[\alpha^G, \alpha^G] = [(\alpha^G)_H, \alpha] = [\alpha, \alpha] = 1 + \psi(1)^2$.

We have $[\alpha^G, 1_G] = [\alpha, 1_H] = -\psi(1)$, so we can write $\alpha^G = \Xi - \psi(1)1_G$, where Ξ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of nonprincipal irreducible characters of G .

We have $[\alpha^G, 1_G] = [\alpha, 1_H] = -\psi(1)$, so we can write $\alpha^G = \Xi - \psi(1)1_G$, where Ξ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of nonprincipal irreducible characters of G .

Now

$$1 + \psi(1)^2 = [\alpha^G, \alpha^G] = [\Xi, \Xi] + \psi(1)^2.$$

We have $[\alpha^G, 1_G] = [\alpha, 1_H] = -\psi(1)$, so we can write $\alpha^G = \Xi - \psi(1)1_G$, where Ξ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of nonprincipal irreducible characters of G .

Now

$$1 + \psi(1)^2 = [\alpha^G, \alpha^G] = [\Xi, \Xi] + \psi(1)^2.$$

Then $[\Xi, \Xi] = 1$, so Ξ is plus-or-minus an irreducible character.

We have $[\alpha^G, 1_G] = [\alpha, 1_H] = -\psi(1)$, so we can write $\alpha^G = \Xi - \psi(1)1_G$, where Ξ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of nonprincipal irreducible characters of G .

Now

$$1 + \psi(1)^2 = [\alpha^G, \alpha^G] = [\Xi, \Xi] + \psi(1)^2 .$$

Then $[\Xi, \Xi] = 1$, so Ξ is plus-or-minus an irreducible character.

Also,

$\psi - \psi(1)1_H = \alpha = (\alpha^G)_H = \Xi_H - \psi(1)1_H$,
so $\Xi_H = \psi$, and hence $\Xi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

We now know that for each nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$, there is a character $\Xi_\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $(\Xi_\psi)_H = \psi$.

We now know that for each nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$, there is a character $\Xi_\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $(\Xi_\psi)_H = \psi$.

Let $M = \bigcap_{\psi} \ker(\Xi_\psi)$. We argue that $M = N$.

We now know that for each nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$, there is a character $\Xi_\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $(\Xi_\psi)_H = \psi$.

Let $M = \bigcap_{\psi} \ker(\Xi_\psi)$. We argue that $M = N$.

If $h \in M \cap H$, then $\psi(h) = \Xi(h) = \Xi(1) = \psi(1)$, so $h \in \bigcap \{\ker(\psi) \mid \psi \in \text{Irr}(H)\} = 1$.

We now know that for each nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$, there is a character $\Xi_\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $(\Xi_\psi)_H = \psi$.

Let $M = \bigcap_{\psi} \ker(\Xi_\psi)$. We argue that $M = N$.

If $h \in M \cap H$, then $\psi(h) = \Xi(h) = \Xi(1) = \psi(1)$, so $h \in \bigcap \{\ker(\psi) \mid \psi \in \text{Irr}(H)\} = 1$.

Thus $M \cap H = 1$, and hence $M \cap H^x = 1$ for all $x \in G$, and we have $M \subseteq N$.

We must now show that $N \subseteq M$, so let $1 \neq n \in N$. Then n lies in no conjugate of H so $\alpha^G(n) = 0$, where α is as before (depending on a nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$).

We must now show that $N \subseteq M$, so let $1 \neq n \in N$. Then n lies in no conjugate of H so $\alpha^G(n) = 0$, where α is as before (depending on a nonprincipal character $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$).

Now

$$0 = \alpha^G(n) = \Xi_\psi(n) - \psi(1) = \Xi_\psi(n) - \Xi_\psi(1),$$

and thus $n \in \ker(\Xi_\psi)$ for all ψ . Then $n \in M$, as required. ■

Alternative statement of Frobenius' theorem:

THEOREM: *Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω , and assume that no nonidentity element of G fixes more than one point of Ω . Then the identity together with the elements of G that fix no point form a subgroup N of G .*

Alternative statement of Frobenius' theorem:

THEOREM: *Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω , and assume that no nonidentity element of G fixes more than one point of Ω . Then the identity together with the elements of G that fix no point form a subgroup N of G .*

Note: The subgroup N is normal. Also, it is **regular**, which means that it is transitive and the stabilizer of a point is trivial.

EXERCISE (6.5): Let $G = NH$, where $N \triangleleft G$ and $N \cap H = 1$, and assume that $1 < N < G$. Show that H is a Frobenius complement in G iff $\mathbf{C}_N(h) = 1$ for all elements $h \in H$ with $h \neq 1$. Show also that in this case, N is the Frobenius kernel.

EXERCISE (6.6): If H is a Frobenius complement in G and $|H|$ is even, show that the Frobenius kernel is abelian.

Chapter 7:

NORMAL SUBGROUPS

If $\sigma : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism of groups, it should be clear that σ carries characters of G to characters of H , and it carries irreducible characters to irreducible characters.

If $\sigma : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism of groups, it should be clear that σ carries characters of G to characters of H , and it carries irreducible characters to irreducible characters.

If χ is a character of G , we write χ^σ to denote the corresponding character of H , where χ^σ is defined by the formula

$$\chi^\sigma(g^\sigma) = \chi(g).$$

If $\sigma : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism of groups, it should be clear that σ carries characters of G to characters of H , and it carries irreducible characters to irreducible characters.

If χ is a character of G , we write χ^σ to denote the corresponding character of H , where χ^σ is defined by the formula

$$\chi^\sigma(g^\sigma) = \chi(g).$$

This also works if $H = G$, so $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ permutes the members of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

Let $N \triangleleft G$. If $g \in G$, then g induces an automorphism of N , so G acts on the set $\text{Irr}(N)$, and we have

$$\theta^g(n^g) = \theta(n)$$

for $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

Let $N \triangleleft G$. If $g \in G$, then g induces an automorphism of N , so G acts on the set $\text{Irr}(N)$, and we have

$$\theta^g(n^g) = \theta(n)$$

for $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

Equivalently,

$$\theta^g(n) = \theta(gng^{-1}).$$

Let $N \triangleleft G$. If $g \in G$, then g induces an automorphism of N , so G acts on the set $\text{Irr}(N)$, and we have

$$\theta^g(n^g) = \theta(n)$$

for $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

Equivalently,

$$\theta^g(n) = \theta(gng^{-1}).$$

We say that the characters $\theta^g \in \text{Irr}(N)$ are the **conjugates** of θ in G .

THEOREM (Clifford): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and suppose $N \triangleleft G$. Then the irreducible constituents of χ_N form a G -orbit in $\text{Irr}(N)$. Also, the multiplicities of each of these constituents in χ_N are equal.*

THEOREM (Clifford): *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and suppose $N \triangleleft G$. Then the irreducible constituents of χ_N form a G -orbit in $\text{Irr}(N)$. Also, the multiplicities of each of these constituents in χ_N are equal.*

In other words,

$$\chi_N = e \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i,$$

where the θ_i are the distinct conjugates of θ in G and e and t are positive integers.

Proof: Let θ be any irreducible constituent of χ_N . If $n \in N$, then for all $x \in G$, we have $xnx^{-1} \in N$. Thus

Proof: Let θ be any irreducible constituent of χ_N . If $n \in N$, then for all $x \in G$, we have $xnx^{-1} \in N$. Thus

$$\theta^G(n) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta(xnx^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta^x(n),$$

so

$$(\theta^G)_N = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta^x.$$

Proof: Let θ be any irreducible constituent of χ_N . If $n \in N$, then for all $x \in G$, we have $xnx^{-1} \in N$. Thus

$$\theta^G(n) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta(xnx^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta^x(n),$$

so

$$(\theta^G)_N = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in G} \theta^x.$$

Since χ is a constituent of θ^G , it follows that χ_N is a sum of characters of the form θ^x for $x \in G$.

Since χ is a class function, we have $\chi^g = \chi$ for $g \in G$, so χ_N is invariant under the G -action.

Since χ is a class function, we have $\chi^g = \chi$ for $g \in G$, so χ_N is invariant under the G -action.

We know that χ_N is a sum of some members of the G -orbit of θ , and it follows that all members of the orbit occur with equal multiplicities. ■

Since χ is a class function, we have $\chi^g = \chi$ for $g \in G$, so χ_N is invariant under the G -action.

We know that χ_N is a sum of some members of the G -orbit of θ , and it follows that all members of the orbit occur with equal multiplicities. ■

Note: The common multiplicity, usually denoted e , is often called the **ramification**.

Since χ is a class function, we have $\chi^g = \chi$ for $g \in G$, so χ_N is invariant under the G -action.

We know that χ_N is a sum of some members of the G -orbit of θ , and it follows that all members of the orbit occur with equal multiplicities. ■

Note: The common multiplicity, usually denoted e , is often called the **ramification**.

COROLLARY: *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , where $N \triangleleft G$. Then $\chi(1) = et\theta(1)$, so $\theta(1)$ divides $\chi(1)$.*

Notation: $\text{cd}(G) = \{\chi(1) \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$.

Notation: $\text{cd}(G) = \{\chi(1) \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$.

EXERCISE (7.1): Suppose all members of $\text{cd}(G)$ are powers of the prime p .

(a) If G is nonabelian, show that $|G : G'|$ is divisible by p .

(b) Show that G has an abelian normal subgroup A , where $|G : A|$ is a power of p and p does not divide $|A|$.

Note: The converse is also true. If A exists as in (b) then $\text{cd}(G)$ consists of powers of p . We need a little more theory to prove this, however.

Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, and let $T = G_\theta$ be the stabilizer of θ in the action of G on $\text{Irr}(N)$.

Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, and let $T = G_\theta$ be the stabilizer of θ in the action of G on $\text{Irr}(N)$.

Note that $N \subseteq T$. The subgroup T is sometimes called the **inertia group** of θ , and we sometimes write $T = I_G(\theta)$.

Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, and let $T = G_\theta$ be the stabilizer of θ in the action of G on $\text{Irr}(N)$.

Note that $N \subseteq T$. The subgroup T is sometimes called the **inertia group** of θ , and we sometimes write $T = I_G(\theta)$.

Recall that in Clifford's theorem, we had

$$\chi_N = e \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i .$$

where t is the size of the G -orbit of $\theta = \theta_1$. We thus have $t = |G : T|$, where $T = G_\theta$. In particular, t divides $|G : N|$.

It is also true that e divides $|G : N|$. We will prove this in the case where G/N is solvable.

It is also true that e divides $|G : N|$. We will prove this in the case where G/N is solvable.

THEOREM: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where $|G : N| = p$, a prime. Then either χ_N is a sum of p distinct irreducible characters or χ_N is irreducible.*

It is also true that e divides $|G : N|$. We will prove this in the case where G/N is solvable.

THEOREM: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where $|G : N| = p$, a prime. Then either χ_N is a sum of p distinct irreducible characters or χ_N is irreducible.*

In the notation of Clifford's theorem, therefore, if $|G : N| = p$ is prime, then $e = 1$ and $t \in \{1, p\}$.

It is also true that e divides $|G : N|$. We will prove this in the case where G/N is solvable.

THEOREM: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where $|G : N| = p$, a prime. Then either χ_N is a sum of p distinct irreducible characters or χ_N is irreducible.*

In the notation of Clifford's theorem, therefore, if $|G : N| = p$ is prime, then $e = 1$ and $t \in \{1, p\}$.

Proof: In general, t divides $|G : N|$, so $t \in \{1, p\}$. Suppose first that $t = p$.

Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , so $[\chi_N, \theta] = e$, where e is the ramification.

Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , so $[\chi_N, \theta] = e$, where e is the ramification.

We have $[\chi, \theta^G] = [\chi_N, \theta] = e$, so χ is a constituent of θ^G with multiplicity e .

Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , so $[\chi_N, \theta] = e$, where e is the ramification.

We have $[\chi, \theta^G] = [\chi_N, \theta] = e$, so χ is a constituent of θ^G with multiplicity e .

Since $|G : N| = p = t$, this yields

$$e\chi(1) \leq \theta^G(1) = |G : N|\theta(1) = t\theta(1) \leq \chi(1),$$

so $e = 1$, as required.

Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , so $[\chi_N, \theta] = e$, where e is the ramification.

We have $[\chi, \theta^G] = [\chi_N, \theta] = e$, so χ is a constituent of θ^G with multiplicity e .

Since $|G : N| = p = t$, this yields

$$e\chi(1) \leq \theta^G(1) = |G : N|\theta(1) = t\theta(1) \leq \chi(1),$$

so $e = 1$, as required.

Now assume $t = 1$, so $\chi_N = e\theta$.

Let Λ be the group of linear characters of G/N ,
so $|\Lambda| = |G : N| = p$.

Let Λ be the group of linear characters of G/N ,
so $|\Lambda| = |G : N| = p$.

Multiplication defines an action of the group Λ
on $\text{Irr}(G)$, and each orbit has size 1 or p .

Let Λ be the group of linear characters of G/N ,
so $|\Lambda| = |G : N| = p$.

Multiplication defines an action of the group Λ
on $\text{Irr}(G)$, and each orbit has size 1 or p .

If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then

$$(\chi\lambda)_N = \chi_N\lambda_N = \chi_N = e\theta,$$

so by Frobenius reciprocity, $\chi\lambda$ is an irreducible
constituent of θ^G .

If the Λ -orbit of χ has size p , then θ^G has at least p different irreducible constituents with degree $\chi(1)$, and thus

If the Λ -orbit of χ has size p , then θ^G has at least p different irreducible constituents with degree $\chi(1)$, and thus

$$p\theta(1) = \theta^G(1) \geq p\chi(1) = pe\theta(1)$$

so $e = 1$, as wanted.

If the Λ -orbit of χ has size p , then θ^G has at least p different irreducible constituents with degree $\chi(1)$, and thus

$$p\theta(1) = \theta^G(1) \geq p\chi(1) = pe\theta(1)$$

so $e = 1$, as wanted.

To complete the proof, we assume that the Λ -orbit of χ has size 1, and we derive a contradiction.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be nonprincipal. Since $\chi = \chi\lambda$ and $\lambda(x) \neq 1$ for all $x \in G - N$, we have $\chi(x) = 0$ for all such x .

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be nonprincipal. Since $\chi = \chi\lambda$ and $\lambda(x) \neq 1$ for all $x \in G - N$, we have $\chi(x) = 0$ for all such x .

We have

$$e^2 = [e\theta, e\theta] = [\chi_N, \chi_N] = |G : N| = p,$$

where the penultimate equality holds by Exercise 4.1. This is the desired contradiction. ■

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If θ is an irreducible constituent of χ_N , then the integer $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|G : N|$.*

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If θ is an irreducible constituent of χ_N , then the integer $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|G : N|$.*

Proof: In the notation of Clifford's theorem, we have $\chi(1)/\theta(1) = et$, so this is an integer.

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If θ is an irreducible constituent of χ_N , then the integer $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|G : N|$.*

Proof: In the notation of Clifford's theorem, we have $\chi(1)/\theta(1) = et$, so this is an integer.

If $N = G$, then $\chi = \theta$, and the result is trivial. We can thus assume that $N < G$ and we induct on $|G : N|$.

If G/N is simple, then since it is solvable, it has prime order, say p . By the previous theorem, $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ is 1 or p , so we are done in this case.

If G/N is simple, then since it is solvable, it has prime order, say p . By the previous theorem, $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ is 1 or p , so we are done in this case.

Assuming now that G/N is not simple, there exists $M \triangleleft G$ with $N < M < G$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ lie under χ and over θ .

If G/N is simple, then since it is solvable, it has prime order, say p . By the previous theorem, $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ is 1 or p , so we are done in this case.

Assuming now that G/N is not simple, there exists $M \triangleleft G$ with $N < M < G$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ lie under χ and over θ .

By the inductive hypothesis, $\chi(1)/\psi(1)$ divides $|G : M|$ and $\psi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|M : N|$.

Thus

$$\frac{\chi(1)}{\theta(1)} = \left(\frac{\chi(1)}{\psi(1)}\right) \left(\frac{\psi(1)}{\theta(1)}\right)$$

divides $|G : M| |M : N| = |G : N|$. ■

Thus

$$\frac{\chi(1)}{\theta(1)} = \left(\frac{\chi(1)}{\psi(1)} \right) \left(\frac{\psi(1)}{\theta(1)} \right)$$

divides $|G : M||M : N| = |G : N|$. ■

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If*

$$\chi_N = e \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i$$

as in Clifford's theorem, then e divides $|G : N|$.

Thus

$$\frac{\chi(1)}{\theta(1)} = \left(\frac{\chi(1)}{\psi(1)} \right) \left(\frac{\psi(1)}{\theta(1)} \right)$$

divides $|G : M||M : N| = |G : N|$. ■

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If*

$$\chi_N = e \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i$$

as in Clifford's theorem, then et divides $|G : N|$.

Proof: We have $et = \chi(1)/\theta_i(1)$. ■

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and suppose that $\chi(1)$ and $|G : N|$ are relatively prime. Then χ_N is irreducible.*

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and suppose that $\chi(1)$ and $|G : N|$ are relatively prime. Then χ_N is irreducible.*

Proof: Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N . Then $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides both $|G : N|$ and $\chi(1)$.

COROLLARY: *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G/N is solvable. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and suppose that $\chi(1)$ and $|G : N|$ are relatively prime. Then χ_N is irreducible.*

Proof: Let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N . Then $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides both $|G : N|$ and $\chi(1)$. Thus $\chi(1)/\theta(1) = 1$, and so $\theta(1) = \chi(1)$. Since θ is a constituent of χ_N , it follows that $\chi_N = \theta$. ■

COROLLARY (Itô): *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where N is abelian, and assume that G/N is solvable. Then the degree of every irreducible character of G divides $|G : N|$.*

COROLLARY (Itô): *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where N is abelian, and assume that G/N is solvable. Then the degree of every irreducible character of G divides $|G : N|$.*

Proof: Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N . Since N is abelian, $\theta(1) = 1$, so $\chi(1) = \chi(1)/\theta(1)$, and this divides $|G : N|$. ■

COROLLARY (Itô): *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where N is abelian, and assume that G/N is solvable. Then the degree of every irreducible character of G divides $|G : N|$.*

Proof: Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and let θ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N . Since N is abelian, $\theta(1) = 1$, so $\chi(1) = \chi(1)/\theta(1)$, and this divides $|G : N|$. ■

Fact: All of the preceding corollaries hold even without assuming that G/N is solvable.

EXERCISE (7.2): Show $\text{cd}(G)$ consists of powers of a fixed prime p iff G has an abelian normal subgroup with index a power of p .

HINT: Exercise 7.1.

Note: This is one of many theorems determining the structure of G from the set $\text{cd}(G)$.

EXERCISE (7.3): Let N be a normal Hall π -subgroup of G , where π is a set of primes, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Show that the degrees of the irreducible constituents of χ_N are equal to the π -part of $\chi(1)$.

Recall: Products of characters are characters.

Recall: Products of characters are characters.

THEOREM (Gallagher correspondence): *Given $N \triangleleft G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, write $\theta = \chi_N$ and assume that θ is irreducible. Then the map $\beta \mapsto \beta\chi$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.*

Recall: Products of characters are characters.

THEOREM (Gallagher correspondence): *Given $N \triangleleft G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, write $\theta = \chi_N$ and assume that θ is irreducible. Then the map $\beta \mapsto \beta\chi$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.*

Note: In order for $\beta\chi$ to make sense for a character $\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$, we view β as a character of G with $N \subseteq \ker(\beta)$.

Proof: Since θ is the restriction to N of a character of G , we see that θ is invariant in G , and it follows that $(\theta^G)_N$ is a multiple of θ . In fact, a comparison of degrees yields $(\theta^G)_N = |G : N|\theta$.

Proof: Since θ is the restriction to N of a character of G , we see that θ is invariant in G , and it follows that $(\theta^G)_N$ is a multiple of θ . In fact, a comparison of degrees yields $(\theta^G)_N = |G : N|\theta$.

We have

$$[\theta^G, \theta^G] = [\theta, (\theta^G)_N] = |G : N|[\theta, \theta] = |G : N|.$$

Proof: Since θ is the restriction to N of a character of G , we see that θ is invariant in G , and it follows that $(\theta^G)_N$ is a multiple of θ . In fact, a comparison of degrees yields $(\theta^G)_N = |G : N|\theta$.

We have

$$[\theta^G, \theta^G] = [\theta, (\theta^G)_N] = |G : N|[\theta, \theta] = |G : N|.$$

Also

$$\theta^G = (1_N \theta)^G = (1_N \chi_N)^G = (1_N)^G \chi.$$

The irreducible constituents of $(1_N)^G$ are the members of $\text{Irr}(G|1_N)$. These are exactly the characters $\beta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $N \subseteq \ker(\beta)$.

The irreducible constituents of $(1_N)^G$ are the members of $\text{Irr}(G|1_N)$. These are exactly the characters $\beta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $N \subseteq \ker(\beta)$.

In other words, the irreducible constituents of $(1_N)^G$ are exactly the members of $\text{Irr}(G/N)$.

The irreducible constituents of $(1_N)^G$ are the members of $\text{Irr}(G|1_N)$. These are exactly the characters $\beta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $N \subseteq \ker(\beta)$.

In other words, the irreducible constituents of $(1_N)^G$ are exactly the members of $\text{Irr}(G/N)$.

If $\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$, then

$$[(1_N)^G, \beta] = [1_N, \beta_N] = [1_N, \beta(1)1_N] = \beta(1),$$

and thus

$$(1_N)^G = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1)\beta.$$

We now have

$$\theta^G = (1_N)^G \chi = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1) \beta \chi,$$

so

$$|G : N| = [\theta^G, \theta^G] = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \beta(1) \gamma(1) [\beta \chi, \gamma \chi].$$

We now have

$$\theta^G = (1_N)^G \chi = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1) \beta \chi,$$

so

$$|G : N| = [\theta^G, \theta^G] = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \beta(1) \gamma(1) [\beta \chi, \gamma \chi].$$

Now $[\beta \chi, \gamma \chi] \geq 0$, and $[\beta \chi, \beta \chi] \geq 1$, and thus

We now have

$$\theta^G = (1_N)^G \chi = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1) \beta \chi,$$

so

$$|G : N| = [\theta^G, \theta^G] = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \beta(1) \gamma(1) [\beta \chi, \gamma \chi].$$

Now $[\beta \chi, \gamma \chi] \geq 0$, and $[\beta \chi, \beta \chi] \geq 1$, and thus

$$|G : N| \geq \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1)^2,$$

We now have

$$\theta^G = (1_N)^G \chi = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1) \beta \chi,$$

so

$$|G : N| = [\theta^G, \theta^G] = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \beta(1) \gamma(1) [\beta \chi, \gamma \chi].$$

Now $[\beta \chi, \gamma \chi] \geq 0$, and $[\beta \chi, \beta \chi] \geq 1$, and thus

$$|G : N| \geq \sum_{\beta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)} \beta(1)^2,$$

with equality iff $[\beta \chi, \gamma \chi] = 0$ when $\beta \neq \gamma$ and $[\beta \chi, \beta \chi] = 1$.

In fact, $\sum \beta(1)^2 = |G/N| = |G : N|$, and we deduce that the characters $\beta\chi$ are distinct and irreducible.

In fact, $\sum \beta(1)^2 = |G/N| = |G : N|$, and we deduce that the characters $\beta\chi$ are distinct and irreducible.

The map $\beta \mapsto \beta\chi$ is thus an injection from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ into $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

In fact, $\sum \beta(1)^2 = |G/N| = |G : N|$, and we deduce that the characters $\beta\chi$ are distinct and irreducible.

The map $\beta \mapsto \beta\chi$ is thus an injection from $\text{Irr}(G/N)$ into $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

For surjectivity, recall that

$$\theta^G = \sum \beta(1)\beta\chi,$$

and thus the irreducible constituents of θ^G are exactly the characters $\beta\chi$. ■

EXERCISE (7.4): Write $b(G)$ for the largest member of the set $\text{cd}(G)$ of irreducible character degrees of G .

(a) If $H \subseteq G$, show that $b(H) \leq b(G)$.

(b) If $N \triangleleft G$ and G/N is nonabelian, show that $b(N) \leq b(G)/2$.

EXERCISE (7.5): Let G be solvable. Show that the derived length of G is at most $1 + 2 \log(b)$, where $b = b(G)$ (as in the previous problem) and the logarithm is base 2.

THEOREM (Clifford correspondence): Given $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, let T be the stabilizer of θ in G . Then the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$. Also, if $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi$, then $[\eta_N, \theta] = [\chi_N, \theta]$.

THEOREM (Clifford correspondence): Given $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, let T be the stabilizer of θ in G . Then the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$. Also, if $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi$, then $[\eta_N, \theta] = [\chi_N, \theta]$.

Proof: Let $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$. By Clifford's theorem, $\eta_N = e\theta$ for some positive integer e .

THEOREM (Clifford correspondence): Given $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, let T be the stabilizer of θ in G . Then the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$. Also, if $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi$, then $[\eta_N, \theta] = [\chi_N, \theta]$.

Proof: Let $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$. By Clifford's theorem, $\eta_N = e\theta$ for some positive integer e .

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over η , so $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

THEOREM (Clifford correspondence): Given $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$, let T be the stabilizer of θ in G . Then the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$. Also, if $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi$, then $[\eta_N, \theta] = [\chi_N, \theta]$.

Proof: Let $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$. By Clifford's theorem, $\eta_N = e\theta$ for some positive integer e .

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over η , so $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

By Clifford's theorem, there is a positive integer f such that

$$\chi_N = f \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i ,$$

where the $\theta_i \in \text{Irr}(N)$ are distinct and form the full G -orbit of θ . Note that $t = |G : T|$.

$$\chi_N = f \sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i,$$

where the $\theta_i \in \text{Irr}(N)$ are distinct and form the full G -orbit of θ . Note that $t = |G : T|$.

Since η is a constituent of χ_T , we have $e \leq f$. Also, χ is a constituent of η^G , so $\chi(1) \leq \eta^G(1)$.

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}ft\theta(1) = \chi(1) &\leq \eta^G(1) = |G : T|\eta(1) \\ &= t\eta(1) \\ &= et\theta(1) \\ &\leq ft\theta(1).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ft\theta(1) &= \chi(1) \leq \eta^G(1) = |G : T|\eta(1) \\
&= t\eta(1) \\
&= et\theta(1) \\
&\leq ft\theta(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Equality thus holds throughout, and we deduce that $\eta^G = \chi$ and $e = f$.

$$\begin{aligned}
ft\theta(1) &= \chi(1) \leq \eta^G(1) = |G : T|\eta(1) \\
&= t\eta(1) \\
&= et\theta(1) \\
&\leq ft\theta(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Equality thus holds throughout, and we deduce that $\eta^G = \chi$ and $e = f$.

Thus $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ carries $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ to $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$, and if $\eta^G = \chi$, then $[\eta_N, \theta] = e = f = [\chi_N, \theta]$, as required.

It remains to show that the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

It remains to show that the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

For injectivity, suppose that $\eta, \psi \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi = \psi^G$.

It remains to show that the map $\eta \mapsto \eta^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

For injectivity, suppose that $\eta, \psi \in \text{Irr}(T|\theta)$ and $\eta^G = \chi = \psi^G$.

Now η and ψ are constituents of χ_T , so if $\eta \neq \psi$, then

$$[\chi_N, \theta] \geq [\eta_N, \theta] + [\psi_N, \theta] = [\chi_N, \theta] + [\chi_N, \theta],$$

and this is a contradiction since $[\chi_N, \theta] > 0$.

Finally, for surjectivity, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

Finally, for surjectivity, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

Since χ lies over θ , it lies over some character $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T)$ such that η lies over θ .

Finally, for surjectivity, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$.

Since χ lies over θ , it lies over some character $\eta \in \text{Irr}(T)$ such that η lies over θ .

Then χ is a constituent of η^G , and since we know that η^G is irreducible, we have $\eta^G = \chi$, so our map carries η to χ . ■

EXERCISE (7.6): Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, where $N \triangleleft G$. Show that θ^G is irreducible iff N is the full stabilizer of θ in G .

EXERCISE (7.7): A character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is **primitive** if there does not exist $H < G$ and a character ψ of H such that $\chi = \psi^G$. Suppose that $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is primitive.

(a) If $N \triangleleft G$, show that χ_N is a multiple of an irreducible character of N .

(b) Let $A \triangleleft G$ with A is abelian. Show that $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$.

Note: It follows that if G has a faithful primitive character, then $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is cyclic and is the unique largest abelian normal subgroup of G .

EXERCISE (7.8): Show that a primitive character of a p -group must be linear.

HINT: If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ then when χ is viewed as a character of $G/\ker(\chi)$, it is faithful. Also, if χ is primitive as a character of G , it is also primitive as a character of $G/\ker(\chi)$.

Chapter 8:

THEOREMS OF ITÔ AND MICHLER

THEOREM (Itô): *Assume that G is solvable. Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup iff no member of $\text{cd}(G)$ is divisible by p .*

THEOREM (Itô): *Assume that G is solvable. Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup iff no member of $\text{cd}(G)$ is divisible by p .*

In this chapter, we prove Itô's theorem, and we discuss its generalization (proved by Michler) to the case where G is not necessarily solvable.

THEOREM (Itô): *Assume that G is solvable. Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup iff no member of $\text{cd}(G)$ is divisible by p .*

In this chapter, we prove Itô's theorem, and we discuss its generalization (proved by Michler) to the case where G is not necessarily solvable.

Michler's argument relies on the classification of simple groups and a case-by-case study of the various simple groups. This type of argument has (unfortunately) become a standard method for proving results in character theory.

First, we discuss the assertion that if G has a normal abelian Sylow p -subgroup, then p does not divide any member of $\text{cd}(G)$.

More generally, we have the following:

THEOREM (Itô divisibility): *Let $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Then $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : A|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.*

First, we discuss the assertion that if G has a normal abelian Sylow p -subgroup, then p does not divide any member of $\text{cd}(G)$.

More generally, we have the following:

THEOREM (Itô divisibility): *Let $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Then $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : A|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.*

We have already seen that this is a consequence of the fact that $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|G : N|$, where $N \triangleleft G$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lies over $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$.

This result is true in general, but we proved it only in the case where G/N is solvable.

This result is true in general, but we proved it only in the case where G/N is solvable.

A different approach to Itô's divisibility theorem is to use another fact that we stated without proof: $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : \mathbf{Z}(G)|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

This result is true in general, but we proved it only in the case where G/N is solvable.

A different approach to Itô's divisibility theorem is to use another fact that we stated without proof: $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : \mathbf{Z}(G)|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Assuming this, it is easy to show that $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : \mathbf{Z}(\chi)|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

This result is true in general, but we proved it only in the case where G/N is solvable.

A different approach to Itô's divisibility theorem is to use another fact that we stated without proof: $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : \mathbf{Z}(G)|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Assuming this, it is easy to show that $\chi(1)$ divides $|G : \mathbf{Z}(\chi)|$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

To see this, view χ as a character of $G/\ker(\chi)$ and observe that $\mathbf{Z}(\chi)/\ker(\chi) = \mathbf{Z}(G/\ker(\chi))$. Thus the index of the center of $G/\ker(\chi)$ is exactly $|G : \mathbf{Z}(\chi)|$.

Proof of the divisibility theorem: By hypothesis, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Let λ be a linear constituent of χ_A and let T be the stabilizer of λ in G .

Proof of the divisibility theorem: By hypothesis, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Let λ be a linear constituent of χ_A and let T be the stabilizer of λ in G .

Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(T|\lambda)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ . Then $\chi = \psi^G$, so $\chi(1) = |G : T|\psi(1)$ and it suffices to show that $\psi(1)$ divides $|T : A|$.

Proof of the divisibility theorem: By hypothesis, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Let λ be a linear constituent of χ_A and let T be the stabilizer of λ in G .

Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(T|\lambda)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ . Then $\chi = \psi^G$, so $\chi(1) = |G : T|\psi(1)$ and it suffices to show that $\psi(1)$ divides $|T : A|$.

Now ψ_A is a multiple of the linear character λ , so $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\psi)$. We have seen that $\psi(1)$ divides $|T : \mathbf{Z}(\psi)|$, and this, in turn, divides $|T : A|$, as required ■

We now discuss the converse. We would like to prove that if the prime p divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$, then a Sylow p -subgroup of G is normal and abelian.

We now discuss the converse. We would like to prove that if the prime p divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$, then a Sylow p -subgroup of G is normal and abelian.

If G is simple and has no irreducible character of degree divisible by p , we would want it to be true that G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. If G has prime order, this clearly is true, but if G is nonabelian, the only way this could happen is if p does not divide $|G|$, so the identity is a normal Sylow p -subgroup.

Let us say that a nonabelian simple group G is **bad** for the prime p if p divides $|G|$ but p divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$.

Let us say that a nonabelian simple group G is **bad** for the prime p if p divides $|G|$ but p divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$.

If the fully general version of Itô's theorem is true, therefore, it would have to be the case that bad simple groups do not exist.

Let us say that a nonabelian simple group G is **bad** for the prime p if p divides $|G|$ but p divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$.

If the fully general version of Itô's theorem is true, therefore, it would have to be the case that bad simple groups do not exist.

Perhaps surprisingly, the converse of this statement is also true. If there do not exist any bad simple groups, then the fully general Itô theorem holds.

In fact more is true.

THEOREM: *Let p be a prime that divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$, and suppose that no composition factor of G is bad for p . Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

In fact more is true.

THEOREM: *Let p be a prime that divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$, and suppose that no composition factor of G is bad for p . Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

The original Itô theorem (for solvable groups) is an immediate consequence.

In fact more is true.

THEOREM: *Let p be a prime that divides no member of $\text{cd}(G)$, and suppose that no composition factor of G is bad for p . Then G has an abelian normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

The original Itô theorem (for solvable groups) is an immediate consequence.

Using the classification, Michler showed that bad simple groups do not exist, so solvability in Itô's theorem is unnecessary. This proves what is called the Itô-Michler theorem.

We will need the following.

LEMMA: *Let P be a p -group acting via automorphisms on a group N , where p does not divide $|N|$, and assume that P fixes all members of $\text{Irr}(N)$. Then the action of P on N is trivial.*

We will need the following.

LEMMA: *Let P be a p -group acting via automorphisms on a group N , where p does not divide $|N|$, and assume that P fixes all members of $\text{Irr}(N)$. Then the action of P on N is trivial.*

Proof: In general, an automorphism of N fixes equal numbers of irreducible characters of N and classes of N . (This follows by Brauer's character table permutation lemma.)

If $x \in P$, then by hypothesis, x fixes all irreducible characters of N , and thus it fixes all classes of N .

If $x \in P$, then by hypothesis, x fixes all irreducible characters of N , and thus it fixes all classes of N .

Let K be a class of N . Then P acts on K , and since $|K| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, it follows that P fixes an element of K . Thus $K \cap C \neq \emptyset$, where $C = \mathbf{C}_N(P)$.

If $x \in P$, then by hypothesis, x fixes all irreducible characters of N , and thus it fixes all classes of N .

Let K be a class of N . Then P acts on K , and since $|K| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, it follows that P fixes an element of K . Thus $K \cap C \neq \emptyset$, where $C = \mathbf{C}_N(P)$.

It follows that $K \subseteq \bigcup C^x$, where x runs over N . Then $N = \bigcup C^x$, and we argue that $C = N$.

Otherwise, there must be more than one conjugate of C in N so

$$|N| = \left| \bigcup_{x \in N} C^x \right| < \sum_{x \in N} |C^x| \leq |N : C| |C| = |N|,$$

and this is a contradiction. Thus $C = N$, so the action of P is trivial. ■

Proof of composition factor theorem: If $N \triangleleft G$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over θ . Then $\theta(1)$ divides $\chi(1)$ by Clifford's theorem, so p does not divide $\theta(1)$, and thus N satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Also G/N satisfies the hypothesis since irreducible characters of G/N can be viewed as irreducible characters of G .

Proof of composition factor theorem: If $N \triangleleft G$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over θ . Then $\theta(1)$ divides $\chi(1)$ by Clifford's theorem, so p does not divide $\theta(1)$, and thus N satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Also G/N satisfies the hypothesis since irreducible characters of G/N can be viewed as irreducible characters of G .

If $P \triangleleft G$, where P is a p -group then $\text{cd}(P)$ consists of powers of p . It follows by the above that $\text{cd}(P) = \{1\}$, so P is abelian. It suffices, therefore, to show that G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup.

There is nothing to prove if G is trivial, so we can assume $G > 1$, and we induct on $|G|$. Let N be maximal normal in G .

There is nothing to prove if G is trivial, so we can assume $G > 1$, and we induct on $|G|$. Let N be maximal normal in G .

Since N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, the inductive hypothesis tells us that N has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P , and $P \triangleleft G$.

There is nothing to prove if G is trivial, so we can assume $G > 1$, and we induct on $|G|$. Let N be maximal normal in G .

Since N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, the inductive hypothesis tells us that N has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P , and $P \triangleleft G$.

If $P > 1$, the inductive hypothesis says that G/P has a normal Sylow p -subgroup S/P . Then S is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G , as wanted

There is nothing to prove if G is trivial, so we can assume $G > 1$, and we induct on $|G|$. Let N be maximal normal in G .

Since N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, the inductive hypothesis tells us that N has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P , and $P \triangleleft G$.

If $P > 1$, the inductive hypothesis says that G/P has a normal Sylow p -subgroup S/P . Then S is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G , as wanted.

We can now assume that $P = 1$, and thus p does not divide $|N|$.

If $|G/N|$ is not divisible by p , then $|G|$ is not divisible by p , and there is nothing to prove. We can thus assume that p divides $|G/N|$.

If $|G/N|$ is not divisible by p , then $|G|$ is not divisible by p , and there is nothing to prove. We can thus assume that p divides $|G/N|$.

Now G/N is a simple group, and no member of $\text{cd}(G/N)$ is divisible by p . Also, p divides $|G/N|$, and by hypothesis, G/N is not bad. It follows that G/N is not a nonabelian simple group, and we conclude that $|G/N| = p$.

If $|G/N|$ is not divisible by p , then $|G|$ is not divisible by p , and there is nothing to prove. We can thus assume that p divides $|G/N|$.

Now G/N is a simple group, and no member of $\text{cd}(G/N)$ is divisible by p . Also, p divides $|G/N|$, and by hypothesis, G/N is not bad. It follows that G/N is not a nonabelian simple group, and we conclude that $|G/N| = p$.

Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$. Then θ must be G -invariant since otherwise, N is the stabilizer of θ , and thus θ^G is irreducible with degree divisible by p .

Let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $G = NS$ and S fixes all members of $\text{Irr}(N)$, so by the lemma, S acts trivially on N . Then

$$N \subseteq \mathbf{C}_G(S) \subseteq \mathbf{N}_G(S),$$

and hence $S \triangleleft G$. ■

Chapter 9:

M-GROUPS

A character χ of a group G is **monomial** if there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$.

A character χ of a group G is **monomial** if there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$.

Note: Every linear character of G is monomial.
(Simply take H to be G in the above definition.)

A character χ of a group G is **monomial** if there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$.

Note: Every linear character of G is monomial. (Simply take H to be G in the above definition.)

A group G is an **M-group** if every irreducible character of G is monomial.

A character χ of a group G is **monomial** if there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$.

Note: Every linear character of G is monomial. (Simply take H to be G in the above definition.)

A group G is an **M-group** if every irreducible character of G is monomial.

THEOREM (Taketa): *Let G be an M-group. Then G is solvable and the derived length of G is at most $|\text{cd}(G)|$.*

Digression on solvable groups:

Digression on solvable groups:

The **derived subgroup** (also called the **commutator subgroup**) of G is the subgroup generated by all elements of G of the form $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

This characteristic subgroup is usually denoted G' , but sometimes the notation $G^{(1)}$ is used.

Digression on solvable groups:

The **derived subgroup** (also called the **commutator subgroup**) of G is the subgroup generated by all elements of G of the form $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

This characteristic subgroup is usually denoted G' , but sometimes the notation $G^{(1)}$ is used.

Note: G is abelian iff $G' = 1$.

Digression on solvable groups:

The **derived subgroup** (also called the **commutator subgroup**) of G is the subgroup generated by all elements of G of the form $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

This characteristic subgroup is usually denoted G' , but sometimes the notation $G^{(1)}$ is used.

Note: G is abelian iff $G' = 1$.

The derived subgroup G'' of G' is sometimes denoted $G^{(2)}$ and its derived subgroup G''' is usually denoted $G^{(3)}$, and so on.

The **derived series** of G is the chain

$$G = G^{(0)} \supseteq G^{(1)} \supseteq G^{(2)} \supseteq G^{(3)} \supseteq \dots .$$

Note that all terms of the derived series of G are characteristic in G .

The **derived series** of G is the chain

$$G = G^0 \supseteq G^{(1)} \subseteq G^{(2)} \supseteq G^{(3)} \supseteq \dots .$$

Note that all terms of the derived series of G are characteristic in G .

If $G^{(m)} = 1$ for some integer m , then G is **solvable** and the **derived length** of G (denoted $\text{dl}(G)$) is the smallest integer m such that $G^{(m)} = 1$.

There are several properties equivalent (for finite groups) to solvability. Sometimes one or another of these is used as the definition.

There are several properties equivalent (for finite groups) to solvability. Sometimes one or another of these is used as the definition.

Exercise (9.1): Let $N \triangleleft G$. Show that G is solvable iff both N and G/N are solvable, and in this case, show that $\text{dl}(G) \leq \text{dl}(N) + \text{dl}(G/N)$.

Exercise (9.2): Let G be finite. Show that the following are equivalent.

- (1) G is solvable.
- (2) The chief factors of G are abelian.
- (3) The composition factors of G are cyclic.

We need the following for Taketa's theorem.

LEMMA: *Let $H \subseteq G$, and let α be a character of H . Then $\ker(\alpha^G) \subseteq H$.*

We need the following for Taketa's theorem.

LEMMA: *Let $H \subseteq G$, and let α be a character of H . Then $\ker(\alpha^G) \subseteq H$.*

Proof: If $g \in \ker(\alpha^G)$, then $\alpha^G(g) = \alpha^G(1)$ so

$$\sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(1) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(1).$$

We need the following for Taketa's theorem.

LEMMA: *Let $H \subseteq G$, and let α be a character of H . Then $\ker(\alpha^G) \subseteq H$.*

Proof: If $g \in \ker(\alpha^G)$, then $\alpha^G(g) = \alpha^G(1)$ so

$$\sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(1) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(1).$$

But $|\alpha^0(xgx^{-1})| \leq \alpha(1)$ for all $x \in G$, so equality holds for all x .

We need the following for Taketa's theorem.

LEMMA: *Let $H \subseteq G$, and let α be a character of H . Then $\ker(\alpha^G) \subseteq H$.*

Proof: If $g \in \ker(\alpha^G)$, then $\alpha^G(g) = \alpha^G(1)$ so

$$\sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(xgx^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha^0(1) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(1).$$

But $|\alpha^0(xgx^{-1})| \leq \alpha(1)$ for all $x \in G$, so equality holds for all x .

Then $|\alpha^0(g)| = \alpha(1) \neq 0$, so $g \in H$. ■

Proof Taketa's theorem: Write

$$\text{cd}(G) = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_r\},$$

where

$$1 = f_1 < f_2 < f_3 \cdots < f_r.$$

Note that $r = |\text{cd}(G)|$.

Proof of Taketa's theorem: Write

$$\text{cd}(G) = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_r\},$$

where

$$1 = f_1 < f_2 < f_3 \cdots < f_r.$$

Note that $r = |\text{cd}(G)|$.

We will show by induction on k that if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $\chi(1) = f_k$, then $G^{(k)} \subseteq \ker(\chi)$.

Proof of Taketa's theorem: Write

$$\text{cd}(G) = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_r\},$$

where

$$1 = f_1 < f_2 < f_3 \cdots < f_r.$$

Note that $r = |\text{cd}(G)|$.

We will show by induction on k that if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $\chi(1) = f_k$, then $G^{(k)} \subseteq \ker(\chi)$.

It will then follow that $G^{(r)} \subseteq \ker(\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, so $G^{(r)} = 1$. Then G is solvable and $\text{dl}(G) \leq r = |\text{cd}(G)|$, as required.

We are given $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = f_k$. If $k = 1$, then χ is linear, so $G' \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, and this is the base case of the induction.

We are given $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = f_k$. If $k = 1$, then χ is linear, so $G' \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, and this is the base case of the induction.

Now assume $k > 1$. Since χ is monomial, we can write $\chi = \lambda^G$, where λ is a linear character of some subgroup $H \subseteq G$.

We are given $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = f_k$. If $k = 1$, then χ is linear, so $G' \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, and this is the base case of the induction.

Now assume $k > 1$. Since χ is monomial, we can write $\chi = \lambda^G$, where λ is a linear character of some subgroup $H \subseteq G$.

We have

$$f_k = \chi(1) = \lambda^G(1) = |G : H|\lambda(1) = |G : H|.$$

Now

$$(1_H)^G(1) = |G : H| = f_k ,$$

so $(1_H)^G = 1_G + \Xi$, where each irreducible constituent of Ξ has degree smaller than f_k .

Now

$$(1_H)^G(1) = |G : H| = f_k ,$$

so $(1_H)^G = 1_G + \Xi$, where each irreducible constituent of Ξ has degree smaller than f_k .

By the inductive hypothesis, $G^{(k-1)}$ is contained in the kernel of every irreducible constituent of $(1_H)^G$.

Now

$$(1_H)^G(1) = |G : H| = f_k ,$$

so $(1_H)^G = 1_G + \Xi$, where each irreducible constituent of Ξ has degree smaller than f_k .

By the inductive hypothesis, $G^{(k-1)}$ is contained in the kernel of every irreducible constituent of $(1_H)^G$. Thus

$$G^{(k-1)} \subseteq \ker((1_H)^G) \subseteq H ,$$

where the final containment is by the lemma.

We thus have

$$G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})' \subseteq H' \subseteq \ker(\lambda).$$

We thus have

$$G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})' \subseteq H' \subseteq \ker(\lambda).$$

Now χ lies over λ and λ lies over the principal character of $G^{(k)}$, so χ lies over $1_{G^{(k)}}$.

We thus have

$$G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})' \subseteq H' \subseteq \ker(\lambda).$$

Now χ lies over λ and λ lies over the principal character of $G^{(k)}$, so χ lies over $1_{G^{(k)}}$.

By Clifford's theorem, therefore, the principal character of $G^{(k)}$ is the unique irreducible constituent of $\chi_{G^{(k)}}$.

We thus have

$$G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})' \subseteq H' \subseteq \ker(\lambda).$$

Now χ lies over λ and λ lies over the principal character of $G^{(k)}$, so χ lies over $1_{G^{(k)}}$.

By Clifford's theorem, therefore, the principal character of $G^{(k)}$ is the unique irreducible constituent of $\chi_{G^{(k)}}$.

Then $G^{(k)} \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, as required. ■

We thus have

$$G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})' \subseteq H' \subseteq \ker(\lambda).$$

Now χ lies over λ and λ lies over the principal character of $G^{(k)}$, so χ lies over $1_{G^{(k)}}$.

By Clifford's theorem, therefore, the principal character of $G^{(k)}$ is the unique irreducible constituent of $\chi_{G^{(k)}}$.

Then $G^{(k)} \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, as required. ■

Open question: Is it true that $\text{dl}(G) \leq |\text{cd}(G)|$ for general solvable groups?

EXERCISE (9.3): Show that nilpotent groups are M-groups.

HINT: Show that a primitive irreducible character of a nilpotent group G must be linear. To do this, assume $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not linear and consider a subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ maximal with the property that χ_N is reducible. Show that χ_N is not a multiple of an irreducible character.

EXERCISE (9.4): Suppose G' is abelian. Show that G is an M-group.

EXERCISE (9.5): Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be monomial, and suppose that $A \triangleleft G$ with A abelian. Show that there exists a subgroup H with $A \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and a linear character λ of H such that $\lambda^G = \chi$.

HINTS: We have $\chi = \mu^G$ where μ is a linear character of some subgroup $J \subseteq G$. Let $K = AJ$ and $\psi = \mu^K$ and note that ψ is irreducible. Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(A)$ lie under ψ . Use Exercise 6.1 to show that $[\psi_A, \theta] = 1$. Use the Clifford correspondence in the group K .

Every M-group is solvable, but not every solvable group is an M-group. The smallest example of a solvable group that is not an M-group is $SL(2, 3)$, which has order 24. We have seen that every nilpotent group is an M-group, so the class of M-groups fits somehow between “nilpotent” and “solvable”.

Every M-group is solvable, but not every solvable group is an M-group. The smallest example of a solvable group that is not an M-group is $SL(2, 3)$, which has order 24. We have seen that every nilpotent group is an M-group, so the class of M-groups fits somehow between “nilpotent” and “solvable”.

In fact, there is a class of groups called “supersolvable” groups, lying between nilpotent and solvable. All supersolvable groups are M-groups, but not conversely.

A theorem of Dade shows that every solvable group is a subgroup of an M-group. It follows that M-groups can have non-M-subgroups, but certain subgroups of M-groups can be proved to be M-groups.

A theorem of Dade shows that every solvable group is a subgroup of an M-group. It follows that M-groups can have non-M-subgroups, but certain subgroups of M-groups can be proved to be M-groups.

THEOREM: *Let G be an M-group and suppose that $N \triangleleft G$ and that $|N|$ and $|G : N|$ are relatively prime. Then N is an M-group.*

A theorem of Dade shows that every solvable group is a subgroup of an M-group. It follows that M-groups can have non-M-subgroups, but certain subgroups of M-groups can be proved to be M-groups.

THEOREM: *Let G be an M-group and suppose that $N \triangleleft G$ and that $|N|$ and $|G : N|$ are relatively prime. Then N is an M-group.*

This suggests two interesting questions:

Can we drop the normality hypothesis?

Can we drop the coprimeness hypothesis?

Relatively recently, examples were constructed that show that coprimeness without normality is not sufficient to guarantee that a subgroup of an M-group is an M-group.

Relatively recently, examples were constructed that show that coprimeness without normality is not sufficient to guarantee that a subgroup of an M-group is an M-group.

Dade and van der Waall (separately) constructed an example that shows that normality without coprimeness is also not sufficient.

Relatively recently, examples were constructed that show that coprimeness without normality is not sufficient to guarantee that a subgroup of an M-group is an M-group.

Dade and van der Waall (separately) constructed an example that shows that normality without coprimeness is also not sufficient.

The Dade/van der Waall example involves the prime 2 in an essential way. It is unknown if a normal subgroup of an M-group having odd order or odd index must be an M-group.

Proof of theorem: Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, so we must show that θ is monomial. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over θ and write $\chi = \lambda^G$, where λ is a linear character of some subgroup H of G .

Proof of theorem: Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, so we must show that θ is monomial. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over θ and write $\chi = \lambda^G$, where λ is a linear character of some subgroup H of G .

Now $(\lambda^{NH})^G = \lambda^G = \chi$ and this is irreducible. Thus λ^{NH} is irreducible. We have $\lambda^{NH}(1) = |NH : H| = |N : N \cap H|$, and this divides $|N|$.

Proof of theorem: Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, so we must show that θ is monomial. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lie over θ and write $\chi = \lambda^G$, where λ is a linear character of some subgroup H of G .

Now $(\lambda^{NH})^G = \lambda^G = \chi$ and this is irreducible. Thus λ^{NH} is irreducible. We have $\lambda^{NH}(1) = |NH : H| = |N : N \cap H|$, and this divides $|N|$.

Then λ^{NH} is an irreducible character of NH with degree relatively prime to $|NH : N|$ and thus $(\lambda^{NH})_N$ is irreducible.

Write

$$\varphi = (\lambda^{NH})_N = (\lambda_{N \cap H})^N,$$

so φ is monomial.

Write

$$\varphi = (\lambda^{NH})_N = (\lambda_{N \cap H})^N,$$

so φ is monomial.

Now φ lies under λ^{NH} and λ^{NH} lies under χ . By Clifford's theorem, it follows that that φ and θ are conjugate in G . Since φ is monomial, θ is also monomial. ■

Chapter 10:

BRAUER'S THEOREMS

A class function α on G is a **generalized character** if it is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible characters, or equivalently, $\alpha = \chi - \xi$ for some characters χ and ξ .

A class function α on G is a **generalized character** if it is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible characters, or equivalently, $\alpha = \chi - \xi$ for some characters χ and ξ .

Given a class function α on G , it would be wonderful if we could determine whether or not α is a character without knowing the whole character table of G .

A class function α on G is a **generalized character** if it is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible characters, or equivalently, $\alpha = \chi - \xi$ for some characters χ and ξ .

Given a class function α on G , it would be wonderful if we could determine whether or not α is a character without knowing the whole character table of G .

This is probably too much to ask for, but Brauer did something almost as good. He gave a useful way to determine if α is a *generalized* character.

Brauer's "characterization of characters" is the following theorem.

THEOREM (Brauer): *Let α be a class function on G . Then α is a generalized character of G iff α_E is a generalized character of E for every nilpotent subgroup $E \subseteq G$.*

Brauer's "characterization of characters" is the following theorem.

THEOREM (Brauer): *Let α be a class function on G . Then α is a generalized character of G iff α_E is a generalized character of E for every nilpotent subgroup $E \subseteq G$.*

Actually, it is not really necessary to check every nilpotent subgroup. It suffices to consider only subgroups E of the form $P \times C$, where P is a p -group for some prime p and C is cyclic. These are called **Brauer elementary** subgroups.

We will probably not have time in these lectures to give a full proof of Brauer's characterization of characters, but I want to discuss the proof and give some applications.

We will probably not have time in these lectures to give a full proof of Brauer's characterization of characters, but I want to discuss the proof and give some applications.

The proof of the characterization of characters is tangled up with another important result of Brauer, his theorem on induced characters:

We will probably not have time in these lectures to give a full proof of Brauer's characterization of characters, but I want to discuss the proof and give some applications.

The proof of the characterization of characters is tangled up with another important result of Brauer, his theorem on induced characters:

THEOREM (Brauer): *Let χ be a character of G . Then χ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of characters of the form λ^G , where λ runs over linear characters of nilpotent subgroups of G .*

Here too, the real theorem is a little stronger than that stated here because “nilpotent” can be replaced by “Brauer elementary”.

Here too, the real theorem is a little stronger than that stated here because “nilpotent” can be replaced by “Brauer elementary”.

The characterization of characters and the theorem on induced characters are proved simultaneously using a clever trick.

Here too, the real theorem is a little stronger than that stated here because “nilpotent” can be replaced by “Brauer elementary”.

The characterization of characters and the theorem on induced characters are proved simultaneously using a clever trick.

Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all class functions α of G such that α_E is a generalized character of E for all nilpotent subgroups E of G .

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form λ^G , where λ runs over linear characters of nilpotent subgroups of G .

Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all class functions α of G such that α_E is a generalized character of E for all nilpotent subgroups E of G .

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form λ^G , where λ runs over linear characters of nilpotent subgroups of G .

Note that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and that both Brauer theorems will follow if it can be shown that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}$.

Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all class functions α of G such that α_E is a generalized character of E for all nilpotent subgroups E of G .

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form λ^G , where λ runs over linear characters of nilpotent subgroups of G .

Note that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and that both Brauer theorems will follow if it can be shown that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}$.

The trick is to observe that \mathcal{R} is a ring and \mathcal{I} is an ideal, and then to show that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$.

Why is \mathcal{R} a ring?

Why is \mathcal{R} a ring?

Obviously closed under addition and subtraction.

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}$ and $E \subseteq G$ is nilpotent, then

$$(\alpha\beta)_E = (\alpha_E)(\beta_E)$$

and each factor is a generalized character of E . Since products of characters are characters, it follows that products of generalized characters are generalized characters.

Why is \mathcal{I} an ideal of \mathcal{R} ?

Obviously closed under addition and subtraction.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{R}$. We need $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{I}$. Since α is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of characters like λ^G , where λ is a linear character of some nilpotent subgroup E , it is enough to show that $\lambda^G\beta \in \mathcal{I}$.

Why is \mathcal{I} an ideal of \mathcal{R} ?

Obviously closed under addition and subtraction.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{R}$. We need $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{I}$. Since α is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of characters like λ^G , where λ is a linear character of some nilpotent subgroup E , it is enough to show that $\lambda^G\beta \in \mathcal{I}$.

We have

$$\lambda^G\beta = (\lambda\beta_E)^G.$$

Since β_E is a generalized character of E , we see that $\lambda\beta_E$ is a generalized character of E , so $\lambda\beta_E$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(E)$.

Since β_E is a generalized character of E , we see that $\lambda\beta_E$ is a generalized character of E , so $\lambda\beta_E$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(E)$.

It suffices to show that χ^G is induced from a linear character of a nilpotent subgroup for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(E)$.

Since β_E is a generalized character of E , we see that $\lambda\beta_E$ is a generalized character of E , so $\lambda\beta_E$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(E)$.

It suffices to show that χ^G is induced from a linear character of a nilpotent subgroup for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(E)$.

Now E is nilpotent, so E is an M-group, and thus $\chi = \mu^E$ for some linear character μ of a subgroup F of E . Then

$$\chi^G = (\mu^E)^G = \mu^G,$$

as required.

How is it possible to prove that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$?

How is it possible to prove that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$?

We say that a group H is **quasielementary** if there exists $C \triangleleft H$, where C is cyclic and H/C is a p -group for some prime p .

How is it possible to prove that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$?

We say that a group H is **quasielementary** if there exists $C \triangleleft H$, where C is cyclic and H/C is a p -group for some prime p .

Show first that 1_G is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $(1_H)^G$ for quasielementary subgroups H .

How is it possible to prove that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$?

We say that a group H is **quasielementary** if there exists $C \triangleleft H$, where C is cyclic and H/C is a p -group for some prime p .

Show first that 1_G is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $(1_H)^G$ for quasielementary subgroups H .

Second, show that if H is quasielementary, then 1_H is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of ν^H , for linear characters ν of nilpotent subgroups of H .

How is it possible to prove that $1_G \in \mathcal{I}$?

We say that a group H is **quasielementary** if there exists $C \triangleleft H$, where C is cyclic and H/C is a p -group for some prime p .

Show first that 1_G is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $(1_H)^G$ for quasielementary subgroups H .

Second, show that if H is quasielementary, then 1_H is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of ν^H , for linear characters ν of nilpotent subgroups of H .

Brauer's theorems follow when these are done.

I will indicate how the first step is done.

Lemma (Banashewski): *Let R be a set of \mathbb{Z} -valued functions on a finite set X , and assume that R is closed under addition, subtraction and multiplication. Assume also that for every prime number p and every point $x \in X$, there exists $f \in R$ such that p does not divide $f(x)$. Then R contains the constant function 1.*

Proof: Given $x \in X$ let $V_x = \{f(x) \mid f \in R\}$. Then V_x is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and I claim $V_x = \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, there is a prime p that divides every member of V_x , and this contradicts the hypothesis.

Proof: Given $x \in X$ let $V_x = \{f(x) \mid f \in R\}$. Then V_x is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and I claim $V_x = \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, there is a prime p that divides every member of V_x , and this contradicts the hypothesis.

Then $1 \in V_x$, so we can choose $f_x \in R$ such that $f_x(x) = 1$.

Proof: Given $x \in X$ let $V_x = \{f(x) \mid f \in R\}$. Then V_x is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and I claim $V_x = \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, there is a prime p that divides every member of V_x , and this contradicts the hypothesis.

Then $1 \in V_x$, so we can choose $f_x \in R$ such that $f_x(x) = 1$. It follows that

$$\prod_{x \in X} (1 - f_x) = 0,$$

Expanding the parentheses, we see that the constant function 1 is a sum and difference of products of the f_x , and thus $1 \in R$. ■

Apply this lemma to the set R of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form $(1_H)^G$, where H runs over quasialementary subgroups.

Apply this lemma to the set R of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form $(1_H)^G$, where H runs over quasialementary subgroups.

This set of functions on G is certainly \mathbb{Z} -valued and closed under addition and subtraction. We must show that it is closed under multiplication.

Apply this lemma to the set R of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of characters of the form $(1_H)^G$, where H runs over quasialementary subgroups.

This set of functions on G is certainly \mathbb{Z} -valued and closed under addition and subtraction. We must show that it is closed under multiplication.

It suffices to show that $(1_H)^G(1_K)^G \in R$ if H and K are quasialementary.

Write $(1_K)^G = \pi$. Then

$$(1_H)^G (1_K)^G = (1_H)^G \pi = ((1_H)\pi_H)^G = (\pi_H)^G .$$

Write $(1_K)^G = \pi$. Then

$$(1_H)^G (1_K)^G = (1_H)^G \pi = ((1_H)\pi_H)^G = (\pi_H)^G.$$

Now I claim that π_H is a sum of characters of the form $(1_U)^H$ for various subgroups $U \subseteq H$.

Write $(1_K)^G = \pi$. Then

$$(1_H)^G (1_K)^G = (1_H)^G \pi = ((1_H)\pi_H)^G = (\pi_H)^G.$$

Now I claim that π_H is a sum of characters of the form $(1_U)^H$ for various subgroups $U \subseteq H$.

This is because π is a permutation character of G so π_H is a permutation character of H .

It follows that $(1_H)^G (1_K)^G$ is a sum of characters of the form $(1_U)^G$, where U runs over various subgroups of H , and all of these are quasialementary.

To apply Banaschewski, we also need to show for every $x \in G$ and every prime p that some character of the form $(1_H)^G$ has value not divisible by p at x , where H is quasialementary.

To apply Banashewski, we also need to show for every $x \in G$ and every prime p that some character of the form $(1_H)^G$ has value not divisible by p at x , where H is quasialementary

This is done as follows. Let C be the Hall p' -subgroup of $\langle x \rangle$. Let $N = \mathbf{N}_G(C)$ and take H/C to be a Sylow p -subgroup of N/C .

To apply Banashewski, we also need to show for every $x \in G$ and every prime p that some character of the form $(1_H)^G$ has value not divisible by p at x , where H is quasialementary.

This is done as follows. Let C be the Hall p' -subgroup of $\langle x \rangle$. Let $N = \mathbf{N}_G(C)$ and take H/C to be a Sylow p -subgroup of N/C .

We omit the proof that this works, and we omit the proof of the second key step.

Here is a neat application of Brauer's characterization of characters.

THEOREM: *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and suppose that $|G|/\chi(1)$ is not divisible by some prime p . Then $\chi(g) = 0$ for all elements $g \in G$ with order divisible by p .*

Notes:: An irreducible character χ of G has *p -defect zero* if $|G|/\chi(1)$ is not divisible by p . An element $g \in G$ with order divisible by p is *p -singular*, otherwise, g is *p -regular*.

We need a lemma that should probably have been presented earlier.

LEMMA: *Let $G = A \times B$ where A and B are subgroups of G . If $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(A)$ and $\beta \in \text{Irr}(B)$, let $\alpha \times \beta$ be the function on G defined by the formula*

$$(\alpha \times \beta)(ab) = \alpha(a)\beta(b),$$

where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $\alpha \times \beta \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Also, the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \times \beta$ is a bijection from the set $\text{Irr}(A) \times \text{Irr}(B)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G)$.

Sketch of proof: There is a unique irreducible character $\hat{\alpha}$ of $G/B \cong A$ corresponding to α , and we can view $\hat{\alpha}$ as a character of G . Similarly, there is a character $\hat{\beta}$ of G corresponding to β via the isomorphism $G/A \cong B$. Then $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}$ is a character of G , and it is easy to see that $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} = \alpha \times \beta$. Thus $\alpha \times \beta$ is a character.

Sketch of proof: There is a unique irreducible character $\hat{\alpha}$ of $G/B \cong A$ corresponding to α , and we can view $\hat{\alpha}$ as a character of G . Similarly, there is a character $\hat{\beta}$ of G corresponding to β via the isomorphism $G/A \cong B$. Then $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}$ is a character of G , and it is easy to see that $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} = \alpha \times \beta$. Thus $\alpha \times \beta$ is a character.

An easy computation shows that $[\alpha \times \beta, \alpha \times \beta] = 1$, and thus $\alpha \times \beta$ is irreducible. Injectivity follows since $(\alpha \times \beta)(a)$ is a multiple of α , so α is determined by $\alpha \times \beta$, and similarly for β .

For surjectivity, compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha, \beta} (\alpha \times \beta)(1)^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \alpha(1)^2 \beta(1)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha} \alpha(1)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{\beta} \beta(1)^2 \right) \\ &= |A||B| \\ &= |G|,\end{aligned}$$

so we have all of $\text{Irr}(G)$.

Proof of theorem: Define the class function ψ on G by setting $\psi(g) = \chi(g)$ if g is p -regular and $\psi(g) = 0$ if g is p -singular. Our goal, therefore, is to show that $\psi = \chi$, and we start by proving that ψ is a generalized character of G .

Proof of theorem: Define the class function ψ on G by setting $\psi(g) = \chi(g)$ if g is p -regular and $\psi(g) = 0$ if g is p -singular. Our goal, therefore, is to show that $\psi = \chi$, and we start by proving that ψ is a generalized character of G .

By Brauer's theorem, it suffices to check that ψ_E is a generalized character, where E is nilpotent.

Write $E = P \times Q$, where P is a p -group and $|Q|$ is not divisible by p . Note that the elements of Q are the only elements of E on which ψ can have nonzero values.

Choose an arbitrary irreducible character of E and write it as $\alpha \times \beta$, where $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(P)$ and $\beta \in \text{Irr}(Q)$. We must show that $[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Choose an arbitrary irreducible character of E and write it as $\alpha \times \beta$, where $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(P)$ and $\beta \in \text{Irr}(Q)$. We must show that $[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We compute

$$[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{x,y} \psi(xy) \overline{\alpha(x)\beta(y)},$$

where x runs over P and y runs over Q .

Choose an arbitrary irreducible character of E and write it as $\alpha \times \beta$, where $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(P)$ and $\beta \in \text{Irr}(Q)$. We must show that $[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We compute

$$[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{x,y} \psi(xy) \overline{\alpha(x)\beta(y)},$$

where x runs over P and y runs over Q .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] &= \frac{1}{|P||Q|} \sum_{y \in Q} \psi(y) \alpha(1) \overline{\beta(y)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1)}{|P|} [\psi_Q, \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|P|[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \alpha(1)[\chi_Q, \beta] \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

and in particular, $[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We will also show that

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)}[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and what we want will follow because $|P|$ and $|G||Q|/\chi(1)$ are relatively prime integers.

We have

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)|G|}{|P|\chi(1)} \sum_{y \in Q} \chi(y) \overline{\beta(y)},$$

and since we already know that this is rational, it suffices to show that it is an algebraic integer.

We have

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)|G|}{|P|\chi(1)} \sum_{y \in Q} \chi(y) \overline{\beta(y)},$$

and since we already know that this is rational, it suffices to show that it is an algebraic integer.

Write K_y to denote the class of G containing y and $\omega(y) = \chi(y)|K_y|/\chi(1)$. Then

$$\omega(y) = \omega_\chi(\widehat{K}_y),$$

and this is an algebraic integer.

We have

$$\frac{\chi(y)}{\chi(1)} = \frac{\omega(y)}{|K_y|},$$

and we substitute this to get

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)|G|}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \frac{\omega(y)}{|K_y|} \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\chi(y)}{\chi(1)} = \frac{\omega(y)}{|K_y|},$$

and we substitute this to get

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)|G|}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \frac{\omega(y)}{|K_y|} \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

Now $|G|/|K_y| = |\mathbf{C}_G(y)|$, and we substitute to get

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \omega(y) |\mathbf{C}_G(y)| \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)}[\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \omega(y) |\mathbf{C}_G(y)| \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

Since P centralizes y for every element $y \in Q$, we see that $|\mathbf{C}_G(y)|/|P|$ is an integer, and thus the above quantity is an algebraic integer, as required.

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \omega(y) |\mathbf{C}_G(y)| \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

Since P centralizes y for every element $y \in Q$, we see that $|\mathbf{C}_G(y)|/|P|$ is an integer, and thus the above quantity is an algebraic integer, as required.

We have now shown that ψ is a generalized character of G .

$$\frac{|G||Q|}{\chi(1)} [\psi_E, \alpha \times \beta] = \frac{\alpha(1)}{|P|} \sum_{y \in Q} \omega(y) |\mathbf{C}_G(y)| \overline{\beta(y)}.$$

Since P centralizes y for every element $y \in Q$, we see that $|\mathbf{C}_G(y)|/|P|$ is an integer, and thus the above quantity is an algebraic integer, as required.

We have now shown that ψ is a generalized character of G .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi, \psi] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\chi(x)} \\ &= [\chi, \chi], \end{aligned}$$

and all terms in both sums are nonnegative.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi, \psi] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\chi(x)} \\ &= [\chi, \chi], \end{aligned}$$

and all terms in both sums are nonnegative.

The above inequality is strict if ψ has the value zero at some element where the value of χ is nonzero.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi, \psi] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\chi(x)} \\ &= [\chi, \chi], \end{aligned}$$

and all terms in both sums are nonnegative.

The above inequality is strict if ψ has the value zero at some element where the value of χ is nonzero.

We have $0 < [\chi, \psi] \leq [\chi, \chi] = 1$ and $[\chi, \psi] \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus $[\chi, \psi] = 1$, and we are done. \blacksquare

Chapter 11:

INDICATORS

Given $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, the **Frobenius-Schur indicator** of χ , denoted $\nu(\chi)$ is given by

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x^2).$$

It is a fact that $\nu(\chi) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. I will discuss this and give some applications.

Given $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, the **Frobenius-Schur indicator** of χ , denoted $\nu(\chi)$ is given by

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x^2).$$

It is a fact that $\nu(\chi) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. I will discuss this and give some applications.

Let $\chi^{(2)}$ be the class function defined by

$$\chi^{(2)}(g) = \chi(g^2),$$

and observe that $[\chi^{(2)}, 1_G] = \nu(\chi)$.

Given any character χ of G , there are uniquely determined characters χ_S and χ_A such that $\chi^2 = \chi_S + \chi_A$. (Except that if $\chi(1) = 1$, then χ_A is zero, and it is not a true character.) These are respectively the **symmetric** and **antisymmetric** parts of χ^2 .

Given any character χ of G , there are uniquely determined characters χ_S and χ_A such that $\chi^2 = \chi_S + \chi_A$. (Except that if $\chi(1) = 1$, then χ_A is zero, and it is not a true character.) These are respectively the **symmetric** and **antisymmetric** parts of χ^2 .

If $\chi(1) = d$, then

$$\chi_S(1) = \frac{d^2 + d}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_A(1) = \frac{d^2 - d}{2},$$

and we have $\chi^{(2)} = \chi_S - \chi_A$.

Checks:

$$\chi^2(1) = d^2 = \chi_S(1) + \chi_A(1) \text{ and}$$

$$\chi^{(2)}(1) = d = \chi_S(1) - \chi_A(1).$$

Checks:

$$\chi^2(1) = d^2 = \chi_S(1) + \chi_A(1) \text{ and}$$

$$\chi^{(2)}(1) = d = \chi_S(1) - \chi_A(1).$$

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not real valued, then $\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$, and

$$0 = [\bar{\chi}, \chi] = [1_G, \chi^2] = [1_G, \chi_S] + [1_G, \chi_A],$$

so $[1_G, \chi_S] = 0$ and $[1_G, \chi_A] = 0$, and thus

Checks:

$$\chi^2(1) = d^2 = \chi_S(1) + \chi_A(1) \text{ and}$$
$$\chi^{(2)}(1) = d = \chi_S(1) - \chi_A(1).$$

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not real valued, then $\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$, and

$$0 = [\bar{\chi}, \chi] = [1_G, \chi^2] = [1_G, \chi_S] + [1_G, \chi_A],$$

so $[1_G, \chi_S] = 0$ and $[1_G, \chi_A] = 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\chi) &= [\chi^{(2)}, 1_G] = [\chi_S - \chi_A, 1_G] \\ &= [\chi_S, 1_G] + [\chi_A, 1_G] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose χ is real. Then

$$1 = [\bar{\chi}, \chi] = [1_G, \chi^2] = [1_G, \chi_S] + [1_G, \chi_A],$$

and thus one of $[1_G, \chi_S]$ and $[1_G, \chi_A]$ is 0 and the other is 1.

Now suppose χ is real. Then

$$1 = [\bar{\chi}, \chi] = [1_G, \chi^2] = [1_G, \chi_S] + [1_G, \chi_A],$$

and thus one of $[1_G, \chi_S]$ and $[1_G, \chi_A]$ is 0 and the other is 1.

It follows that

$$\nu(\chi) = [1_G, \chi^{(2)}] = [1_G, \chi_S] - [1_G, \chi_A]$$

is either 1 or -1 .

To summarize, if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is nonreal, then $\nu(\chi) = 0$ and if χ is real, then $\nu(\chi) \in \{1, -1\}$.

To summarize, if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is nonreal, then $\nu(\chi) = 0$ and if χ is real, then $\nu(\chi) \in \{1, -1\}$.

In fact, if χ is afforded by a real representation, then $\nu(\chi) = 1$ and if χ is real but is not afforded by a real representation, then $\nu(\chi) = -1$.

Now define the class function θ_2 on G by setting

$$\theta_2(g) = |\{x \in G \mid x^2 = g\}|.$$

Now define the class function θ_2 on G by setting

$$\theta_2(g) = |\{x \in G \mid x^2 = g\}|.$$

LEMMA:

$$\theta_2 = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \nu(\chi)\chi,$$

Proof: We must show that $[\theta_2, \chi] = \nu(\chi)$ for $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta_2, \chi] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \theta_2(g) \overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x^2 = g}} \overline{\chi(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\chi(x^2)} \\ &= \nu(\overline{\chi}), \end{aligned}$$

and this equals $\nu(\chi)$, as required

COROLLARY: *Let t be the number of involutions in G . Then*

$$1 + t = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \nu(\chi)\chi(1).$$

Proof: $1 + t = \theta_2(1)$. ■

COROLLARY: *Let t be the number of involutions in G . Then*

$$1 + t = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \nu(\chi)\chi(1).$$

Proof: $1 + t = \theta_2(1)$. ■

THEOREM(Brauer-Fowler): *Let $u \in G$, where u is an involution, and write $c = |\mathbf{C}_G(u)|$. Then there exists a nonidentity element $x \in G$ such that $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| < c^2$.*

COROLLARY: *Given an integer c , there exist at most a finite number of nonabelian simple groups G that contain an involution u such that $|\mathbf{C}_G(u)| = c$.*

COROLLARY: *Given an integer c , there exist at most a finite number of nonabelian simple groups G that contain an involution u such that $|\mathbf{C}_G(u)| = c$.*

Proof: Assume G is nonabelian simple and that $u \in G$ is an involution such that $|\mathbf{C}_G(u)| = c$. By the theorem, there exists $x \in G$ with $x \neq 1$ such that $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| \leq c^2$. Since G is nonabelian simple, $\mathbf{C}_G(x) < G$ and thus G can be isomorphically embedded in the symmetric group on c^2 points. ■

Proof of Brauer-Fowler theorem: Write $g = |G|$ and let k be the number of classes of G . Then $t \geq g/c > (g - 1)/c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{g-1}{c}\right)^2 < t^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)\right)^2 \\ &\leq (k-1) \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^2 \\ &= (k-1)(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Brauer-Fowler theorem: Write $g = |G|$ and let k be the number of classes of G . Then $t \geq g/c > (g - 1)/c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{g-1}{c}\right)^2 < t^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)\right)^2 \\ &\leq (k-1) \sum_{\chi \neq 1_G} \chi(1)^2 \\ &= (k-1)(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{g-1}{k-1} \leq c^2.$$

The average nonidentity class size, therefore, is at most c^2 , so some nonidentity element $x \in G$ lies in a class of size at most c^2 , and we have $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| \leq c^2$. ■

The average nonidentity class size, therefore, is at most c^2 , so some nonidentity element $x \in G$ lies in a class of size at most c^2 , and we have $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| \leq c^2$. ■

COROLLARY: *If $|G|$ is even and $|G| > 2$, then G has a proper subgroup H such that $|H| \geq |G|^{1/3}$.*

Proof. Assume first that $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Let $u \in G$ be an involution. By the theorem, there exists nonidentity $x \in G$ such that $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(u)|^2$. Then

$$|G| \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(x)| |\mathbf{C}_G(u)|^2 \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(y)|^3,$$

where $y \in G$ is a nonidentity element in a class of smallest possible size.

Proof. Assume first that $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Let $u \in G$ be an involution. By the theorem, there exists nonidentity $x \in G$ such that $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(u)|^2$. Then

$$|G| \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(x)| |\mathbf{C}_G(u)|^2 \leq |\mathbf{C}_G(y)|^3,$$

where $y \in G$ is a nonidentity element in a class of smallest possible size.

Since $\mathbf{C}_G(y) < G$, we are done in this case.

We can now assume that $\mathbf{Z}(G) > 1$ and we choose $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ of prime order p , and we look at G/Z . If $|G/Z| = 2$, then the subgroup Z satisfies $|Z|^3 > |G|$, and we are done. We can thus suppose that $|G/Z| > 2$.

We can now assume that $\mathbf{Z}(G) > 1$ and we choose $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ of prime order p , and we look at G/Z . If $|G/Z| = 2$, then the subgroup Z satisfies $|Z|^3 > |G|$, and we are done. We can thus suppose that $|G/Z| > 2$.

Suppose $|G/Z|$ is even. By the inductive hypothesis applied to G/Z , there exists a subgroup H with $Z \subseteq H < G$ and $|H/Z|^3 \geq |G/Z|$. Then $|H|^3 \geq |G||Z|^2 > |G|$, and we are done.

We can now assume that $|G/Z|$ is odd. Then $p = 2$ and G is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . This implies that $G = Z \times H$, and thus

$$|H|^3 = (|G|/2)^3 = |G| \frac{|G|^2}{8} \geq |G|,$$

where the last inequality holds because $|G|^2 \geq 8$ since $|G| > 2$.

THEOREM: *Let G be a 2-group, and suppose that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then either G is cyclic, or else $|G : G'| = 4$.*

THEOREM: *Let G be a 2-group, and suppose that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then either G is cyclic, or else $|G : G'| = 4$.*

Notes: In every nontrivial 2-group, the number t of involutions is odd. Also, if G is a 2-group of order exceeding 4 and $|G : G'| = 4$, then G is dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion, and in each case, $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

THEOREM: *Let G be a 2-group, and suppose that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then either G is cyclic, or else $|G : G'| = 4$.*

Notes: In every nontrivial 2-group, the number t of involutions is odd. Also, if G is a 2-group of order exceeding 4 and $|G : G'| = 4$, then G is dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion, and in each case, $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

The second assertion is a theorem of Olga Taussky-Todd.

Proof of theorem: If G is abelian, then $t+1 = 2^e$, where e is the number of cyclic direct factors of G . Then $1 \equiv t \equiv 2^e - 1 \pmod{4}$, and thus $e = 1$ and G is cyclic. We may suppose, therefore, that G is nonabelian.

Proof of theorem: If G is abelian, then $t+1 = 2^e$, where e is the number of cyclic direct factors of G . Then $1 \equiv t \equiv 2^e - 1 \pmod{4}$, and thus $e = 1$ and G is cyclic. We may suppose, therefore, that G is nonabelian.

Suppose $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ contains an elementary abelian group V of order 4. Then $\{x \in G \mid x^2 = 1\}$ is a union of cosets of V , so $t + 1$ is a multiple of 4. This is a contradiction, and we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is cyclic, and thus G has a unique normal subgroup Z of order 2. In particular, $Z \subseteq G'$

Suppose G/Z satisfies the hypotheses. By the inductive hypothesis (on $|G|$), either G/Z is cyclic or $(G/Z)'$ has index 4. If G/Z is cyclic, then G is abelian, which is a contradiction.

Then $(G/Z)' = G'/Z$ has index 4 in G/Z , and thus $|G : G'| = 4$ and we are done.

Suppose G/Z satisfies the hypotheses. By the inductive hypothesis (on $|G|$), either G/Z is cyclic or $(G/Z)'$ has index 4. If G/Z is cyclic, then G is abelian, which is a contradiction.

Then $(G/Z)' = G'/Z$ has index 4 in G/Z , and thus $|G : G'| = 4$ and we are done.

We can suppose, therefore, that the number of involutions of G/Z is congruent to 3 mod 4.

The characters of G/Z are usually viewed as characters of G with kernels containing Z . This change of point of view does not change the indicator.

Then $\sum \nu(\chi)\chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, where χ runs over irreducible characters with kernel containing Z . Summing over all irreducible characters we get 2 modulo 4, so summing over the set \mathcal{S} of irreducible characters with kernel not containing Z yields 2 modulo 4.

Now let A be the group of linear characters λ of G such that $\lambda^2 = 1_G$ and note that for all characters $\chi \in G$, we have $\nu(\chi\lambda) = \nu(\chi)$. Note that 4 divides $|A|$.

Now multiplication by characters in A permutes \mathcal{S} into orbits. We have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}} \nu(\chi)\chi(1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

and we can ignore the contribution of A -orbits of size divisible by 4. We can also ignore characters χ with $\nu(\chi) = 0$ and characters χ with degree exceeding 2.

It follows that there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$ and $\chi(1)|\mathcal{O}| \leq 2$, where \mathcal{O} is the A -orbit of χ .

It follows that there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$ and $\chi(1)|\mathcal{O}| \leq 2$, where \mathcal{O} is the A -orbit of χ .

Since $|A| \geq 4$, some nonidentity member $\lambda \in A$ satisfies $\lambda\chi = \chi$, so χ vanishes on $G - \ker(\lambda)$, and thus χ is nonlinear.

It follows that there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$ and $\chi(1)|\mathcal{O}| \leq 2$, where \mathcal{O} is the A -orbit of χ .

Since $|A| \geq 4$, some nonidentity member $\lambda \in A$ satisfies $\lambda\chi = \chi$, so χ vanishes on $G - \ker(\lambda)$, and thus χ is nonlinear.

Then $|\mathcal{O}| = 1$ and $\chi(1) = 2$. Also, $\chi\lambda = \chi$ for all $\lambda \in A$ and thus χ vanishes on $G - F$, where $F = \bigcap \ker(\lambda)$. Then

$$[\chi_F, \chi_F] = |G : F|[\chi, \chi] = |G : F| = |A| \geq 4,$$

Since $\chi(1) = 2$, this forces $\chi_F = 2\mu$ for some linear character μ of F . Also, χ is real valued since $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$, so μ is real valued. We also have $|G : F| = 4$.

Since $\chi(1) = 2$, this forces $\chi_F = 2\mu$ for some linear character μ of F . Also, χ is real valued since $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$, so μ is real valued. We also have $|G : F| = 4$.

Recall that $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$, so $Z \not\subseteq \ker(\chi)$, and thus $\ker(\chi) = 1$. Also $\ker(\mu) \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, so $\ker(\mu) = 1$.

Since $\chi(1) = 2$, this forces $\chi_F = 2\mu$ for some linear character μ of F . Also, χ is real valued since $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$, so μ is real valued. We also have $|G : F| = 4$.

Recall that $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$, so $Z \not\subseteq \ker(\chi)$, and thus $\ker(\chi) = 1$. Also $\ker(\mu) \subseteq \ker(\chi)$, so $\ker(\mu) = 1$.

Since μ is a real faithful linear character of F , we have $|F| \leq 2$, and thus $|G| = 8$. It follows that G is D_8 or Q_8 , and thus $|G : G'| = 4$, as required. ■